

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2017

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars)

(Unaudited)

Notice of No Auditor Review of Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

In accordance with National Instrument 51-102 Part 4, subsection 4.3(3)(a), if an auditor has not performed a review of these condensed consolidated interim financial statements they must be accompanied by a notice indicating that the condensed consolidated interim financial statements have not been reviewed by an auditor.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements of the Company have been prepared by and are the responsibility of the Company's management.

Condensed Consolidated Interim Statements of Financial Position

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars)

		Sen	tember 30	D	ecember 31
	Notes		2017		2016
ASSETS					
Non-current assets					
Mineral property, plant and equipment	3	\$	132,216	\$	142,472
Total non-current assets			132,216		142,472
Current assets					
Held-to-maturity investments	4		6,678		_
Amounts receivable and prepaid expenses	5		724		679
Restricted Cash	6(b)		748		_
Cash and cash equivalents	6(a)		30,949		7,196
Total current assets			39,099		7,875
Total Assets		\$	171,315	\$	150,347
EQUITY					
Capital and reserves					
Share capital	7	\$	509,907	\$	452,132
Reserves			94,085		102,821
Deficit			(459,588)		(406,106)
Total equity			144,404		148,847
LIABILITIES					
Non-current liabilities					
Trade and other payables	9		13,192		_
Total non-current liabilities			13,192		-
Current liabilities					
Payables to related parties	8		538		240
Trade and other payables	9		13,181		1,260
Total current liabilities			13,719		1,500
Total liabilities			26,911		1,500
Total Equity and Liabilities		\$	171,315	\$	150,347

Commitments (note 12)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

These condensed consolidated interim financial statements are signed on the Company's behalf by:

/s/ Ronald W. Thiessen /s/ Christian Milau

Ronald W. Thiessen Christian Milau Director Director

Condensed Consolidated Interim Statements of Comprehensive Loss (Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, except for share information)

		Three	e months en	ded Sep	otember 30	Nine	months end	ded September 30	
	Notes		2017		2016		2017		2016
Expenses									
Exploration and evaluation expenses	3, 11	\$	9,807	\$	2,006	\$	15,516	\$	5,325
General and administrative expenses	11	,	1,980	,	1,444	Ť	7,285	,	5,418
Legal, accounting and audit			1,475		1,286		25,007		8,458
Share-based compensation	7(d)-(f)		3,474		1,939		4,539		2,281
Loss from operating activities	() ()		16,736		6,675		52,347		21,482
Foreign exchange loss (gain)			1,282		(52)		1,502		30
Interest income			(130)		(16)		(367)		(22)
Amount receivable written off			-		_		_		15
Gain on sale of available-for-sale financial assets			_		_		_		(70)
Loss on sale of plant and equipment			_		_		_		23
Loss before tax			17,888		6,607		53,482		21,458
Income tax			_		_		_		_
Net loss		\$	17,888	\$	6,607	\$	53,482	\$	21,458
Other comprehensive loss (income)									
Items that may be subsequently reclassified to loss									
Foreign exchange translation difference	3, 7(g)		4,688		(2,111)		9,035		7,555
Derecognition of available-for-sale financial assets			-		_		-		(105)
Other comprehensive loss (income)		\$	4,688	\$	(2,111)	\$	9,035	\$	7,450
Total comprehensive loss		\$	22,576	\$	4,496	\$	62,517	\$	28,908
Basic and diluted loss per common share	10	\$	0.06	\$	0.02	\$	0.18	\$	0.09

 $The\ accompanying\ notes\ are\ an\ integral\ part\ of\ these\ condensed\ consolidated\ interim\ financial\ statements.$

Condensed Consolidated Interim Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars)

		Nine	months end	ed Sep	
	Notes		2017		2016
Operating activities					
Net loss		\$	(53,482)	\$	(21,458)
Non-cash or non operating items		Ψ	(33,402)	Ψ	(21,430)
Amount receivable written off					15
Depreciation			124		154
Gain on disposal of available-for-sale financial assets			124		(70)
Loss on sale of plant and equipment					23
Interest earned on cash and held-to-maturity investments			(218)		(22)
Non-current legal fees payable	9		13,824		(22)
	9				2 201
Share-based compensation			4,539		2,281
Unrealized exchange loss			1,561		350
<u>Changes in working capital items</u>	((1)		(50.6)		450
Restricted cash	6(b)		(796)		453
Amounts receivable and prepaid expenses			(32)		369
Trade and other payables			12,442		(770)
Payables to related parties			125		(462)
Net cash used in operating activities			(21,913)		(19,137)
Investing activities					
Purchase of held-to-maturity investments	4		(6,884)		_
Proceeds from disposal of available-for-sale financial assets			_		1,754
Interest received on cash and cash equivalents and held-to-maturity investments			123		22
Net cash (used in) from investing activities			(6,761)		1,776
Financing activities					
Net proceeds from bought deal financing	7(b)		45,887		_
Net proceeds from prospectus financing	7(b) 7(b)		- 43,007		16,028
Net proceeds from private placement	7(b) 7(b)				1,970
Cash settlement of equity-settled restricted share units	7(b) 7(f)		(1,128)		1,770
Proceeds from the exercise of share purchase options			1,783		94
	7(c)-(d)				21
Proceeds from the exercise of warrants Not each from financing activities	7(c)		7,166 53,708		
Net cash from financing activities			53,708		18,113
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents			25,034		752
Effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash and cash equivalents			(1,281)		(350)
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning balance			7,196		7,509
Cash and cash equivalents - ending balance	6(a)	\$	30,949	\$	7,911
Supplementary cash flow information	6(a)				

 $The\ accompanying\ notes\ are\ an\ integral\ part\ of\ these\ condensed\ consolidated\ interim\ financial\ statements.$

Condensed Consolidated Interim Statements of Changes in Equity

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, except for share information)

	Notes	Share o	apita	al				Rese	rves							
		Number of shares (note 7(a))		Amount	sha	y settled re-based ensation reserve	tra	Foreign urrency nslation reserve ote 7(g))	reva	stment luation reserve	W	Share urchase arrants ote 7(c))		Deficit	To	tal equity
	·-															
Balance at January 1, 2016	- 0.5	221,939,376	\$	435,069	\$	56,197	\$	40,479	\$	(107)	\$	2,466	\$	(379,124)	\$	154,980
Shares issued pursuant to prospectus financing, net of transaction costs	7(b)	38,000,000		10,345		-		-		-		_		-		10,34
Warrants issued pursuant to prospectus financing, net of transaction costs	7(b)	_		-		-		-		-		5,683		-		5,683
Shares issued pursuant to private placement, net of transaaction costs	7(b)	4,444,376		1,267		-		-		-		-		-		1,26
Warrants issued pursuant to private placement, net of tranasaction costs	7(b)	_		-		-		-		-		703		-		70:
Shares issued on exercise of share purchase options per option plan	7(d)	56,667		28		-		-		-		-		-		28
Shares issued upon exercise of share purchase options not issued per option plan	7(c)	211,500		66		_		_		-		-		_		66
Shares issued upon exercise of warrants	7(c)	38,328		21		-		_		-		_		-		23
Fair value allocated to shares issued on options exercised per plan	7(d)	-		18		(18)		_		-		_		-		-
Fair value allocated to shares issued on options exercised not under option plan	7(c)	_		37		-		-		-		(37)		-		-
Fair value allocated to shares issued on warrants exercised	7(c)	_		9		-		-		-		(9)		-		-
Share-based compensation	7(d)-(f)	_		-		2,281		_		-		-		-		2,282
Net loss		_		-		-		-		-		-		(21,458)		(21,45
Other comprehensive (loss) income net of tax		_		_		_		(7,555)		105		_		_		(7,450
Balance at September 30, 2016		264,690,247	¢	446,860	<u> </u>	58,460	¢	32,924	¢.	(2)	d d	8,806	<u> </u>	(400,582)	¢	146,466
Baiance at September 30, 2010		204,070,247	Ψ	110,000	Ψ	30,100	Ψ	32,724	Ψ	(2)	Ψ	0,000	Ψ	(400,302)	Ψ	140,400
Balance at January 1, 2017		270,869,561	\$	452,132	\$	58,926	\$	36,233	\$	(2)	\$	7,664	\$	(406,106)	\$	148,847
Shares issued pursuant to bought deal financing, net of transaction costs	7(b)	20,240,000	,	45,887	,	-	•	-	•	_	,	_	,	_	,	45,887
Shares issued on exercise of options per option plan	7(d)	1,237,200		1,736		_		_		_		_		_		1,73
Shares issued on exercise of options not under option plan	7(c)	118,800		47		_		_		_		_		_		4'
Shares issued upon exercise of warrants	7(c)	11,531,162		7,166		_		_		_		_		_		7,16
Shares issued pursuant to restricted share unit plan	7(f)	22,094		49		(49)		_		_		_		_		.,20
Cash settlement of tax on issue of equity-settled restricted share units	7(f)	,		_		(30)		_		_		_		_		(3
Cash settlement of equity-settled restricted share units	7(f)	_		_		(1,098)		_		_		_		_		(1,09
	, (-)			782		(782)		_		_		_		_		(1)07
	7(d)	_		, 02		(, 02)										_
Fair value allocated to shares issued on options exercised per plan	7(d) 7(c)	- -				_		_		_		(44)		_		
Fair value allocated to shares issued on options exercised per plan Fair value allocated to shares issued on options exercised not under option plan	7(c)	- - -		44		-		-		-		(44) (2.064)		-		_
Fair value allocated to shares issued on options exercised per plan Fair value allocated to shares issued on options exercised not under option plan Fair value and costs transferred to share capital on exercise of warrants	7(c) 7(c)	- - -				- - 38		- - -		- -		(2,064)		- - -		- -
Fair value allocated to shares issued on options exercised per plan Fair value allocated to shares issued on options exercised not under option plan Fair value and costs transferred to share capital on exercise of warrants Fair value transferred to reserve on expiry of warrants	7(c) 7(c) 7(c)	- - - -		44		- - 38 4366		- - -		- - -				- - -		- 4 36
Fair value allocated to shares issued on options exercised per plan Fair value allocated to shares issued on options exercised not under option plan Fair value and costs transferred to share capital on exercise of warrants Fair value transferred to reserve on expiry of warrants Share-based compensation	7(c) 7(c)			44		4,366		- - -		- - - -		(2,064) (38) -		- - - - (53 482)		
Fair value allocated to shares issued on options exercised per plan Fair value allocated to shares issued on options exercised not under option plan Fair value and costs transferred to share capital on exercise of warrants Fair value transferred to reserve on expiry of warrants Share-based compensation Net loss	7(c) 7(c) 7(c)			44		4,366 -		- - - - (9.035)		- - - -		(2,064)		- - - - (53,482)		4,366 (53,482
Fair value allocated to shares issued on options exercised per plan Fair value allocated to shares issued on options exercised not under option plan Fair value and costs transferred to share capital on exercise of warrants Fair value transferred to reserve on expiry of warrants Share-based compensation Net loss Other comprehensive loss net of tax	7(c) 7(c) 7(c)			44		4,366		- - - - (9,035)		- - - -		(2,064) (38) -		- - - - (53,482)		(53,482 (9,035
Fair value allocated to shares issued on options exercised per plan Fair value allocated to shares issued on options exercised not under option plan Fair value and costs transferred to share capital on exercise of warrants Fair value transferred to reserve on expiry of warrants Share-based compensation Net loss	7(c) 7(c) 7(c)			44		4,366 -				- - - - -		(2,064) (38) -		- - - - (53,482) -		(53,482

 $The\ accompanying\ notes\ are\ an\ integral\ part\ of\ these\ condensed\ consolidated\ interim\ financial\ statements.$

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

1. NATURE AND CONTINUANCE OF OPERATIONS

Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd. (the "Company") is incorporated under the laws of the Province of British Columbia, Canada, and its principal business activity is the exploration of mineral properties. The Company is listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange ("TSX") under the symbol "NDM" and on the New York Stock Exchange-MKT ("NYSE-MKT") under the symbol "NAK". The Company's corporate office is located at 1040 West Georgia Street, 15th floor, Vancouver, British Columbia.

The condensed consolidated interim financial statements ("Financial Statements") of the Company as at and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, include financial information for the Company and its subsidiaries (together referred to as the "Group" and individually as "Group entities"). The Company is the ultimate parent. The Group's core mineral property interest is the Pebble Copper-Gold-Molybdenum Project (the "Pebble Project") located in Alaska, United States of America ("USA" or "US"). All US Dollar amounts when presented are expressed in thousands, unless otherwise stated.

The Group is in the process of exploring and developing the Pebble Project and has not yet determined whether the Pebble Project contains mineral reserves that are economically recoverable. The Group's continuing operations and the underlying value and recoverability of the amounts shown for the Group's mineral property interests, is entirely dependent upon the existence of economically recoverable mineral reserves; the ability of the Group to obtain financing to complete the exploration and development of the Pebble Project; the Group obtaining the necessary permits to mine; and future profitable production or proceeds from the disposition of the Pebble Project.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, the company raised gross proceeds of US\$37,440 (\$49,067) through a bought deal financing (note 7(b)) and \$8,949 from the exercise of share purchase options and warrants.

As at September 30, 2017, the Group has \$30,949 in cash and cash equivalents with a further \$6,678 in held-to-maturity investments, which becomes available to the Group in November this year, for its operating requirements. The Group incurred a net loss of \$53,482 and \$21,458 during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively, and had a deficit \$459,588 as at September 30, 2017. The Group has prioritized the allocation of available financial resources in order to meet key corporate and Pebble Project expenditure requirements in the near term and have available financial resources to carry forward into the first quarter of 2018. Additional financing will be required in order to progress any material expenditures at the Pebble Project in 2018 including any of or a combination of debt equity and/or contributions from possible new Pebble Project participants. There can be no assurances that the Group will be successful in obtaining additional financing. If the Group is unable to raise the necessary capital resources and generate sufficient cash flows to meet obligations as they come due, the Group may, at some point, consider reducing or curtailing its operations. As such there is material uncertainty that raises substantial doubt about the Group's ability to continue as a going concern.

From 2014-to May 2017, the Group was focused on a multi-dimensional strategy which included legal and other initiatives designed to ward off a pre-emptive regulatory action by the *United States Environmental Protection Agency* (the "EPA") under the *Clean Water Act* ("CWA"). On May 12, 2017, the Company announced that the Pebble Limited Partnership (the "Pebble Partnership") and the EPA had reached a joint settlement agreement over the federal agency's pre-emptive regulatory action. With the joint settlement, the Group can proceed into normal course permitting under the CWA and *National Environmental Protection Act* ("NEPA"). The following is a chronology of the events and the actions prior to the joint settlement agreement.

In July 2014, the EPA announced a proposal under Section 404(c) of the CWA to restrict and impose limitations on all discharges of dredged or fill material ("EPA Action") associated with mining the Pebble deposit. The Group did not accept that the EPA has the statutory authority to impose conditions on the development at Pebble prior to the submission of a detailed development plan and its thorough review by federal and state agencies, including review under the NEPA. The Pebble Partnership, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, along with the State

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

of Alaska and the Alaska Peninsula Corporation, an Alaska Native village corporation with extensive land holdings in the Pebble Project area, filed for an injunction to stop the EPA Action with the US Federal Court in Alaska (the "Court"). However, the Court deferred judgment thereon until the EPA issued a final determination. The Company appealed the Court's decision to the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals. The appeal was denied in May 2015. The Pebble Partnership retained the option to pursue its statutory authority case in the instance that EPA finalized a preemptive regulatory action under the CWA. In September 2014, the Pebble Partnership initiated a second action against the EPA in federal district court in Alaska charging that the EPA violated the Federal Advisory Committee Act ("FACA"). In November 2014, the U.S. federal court judge in Alaska granted the Pebble Partnership's request for a preliminary injunction in relation to the FACA case. While the preliminary injunction did not resolve the Pebble Partnership's claims that the EPA actions with respect to the Bristol Bay Watershed Assessment ("BBWA") and subsequent 404(c) regulatory action violated FACA, the decision permitted the further discovery process of the underlying facts to enable the court to issue a final decision on the merits of the FACA case.. In June 2015, the EPA's motion to dismiss the FACA case was rejected and as a result the FACA case moved forward. On October 14, 2014, the Pebble Partnership filed suit in the federal district court in Alaska charging that the EPA had violated the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") by improperly withholding documents related to the Pebble Project, the BBWA and consideration of a pre-emptive 404(c) veto under the CWA.

The EPA moved for summary judgment claiming that its search for and disclosure of documents was adequate. The Pebble Partnership opposed the motion pointing out several deficiencies in the EPA's search parameters and pointing out the agency's overly broad assertion of the deliberative process privilege to withhold documents. On August 24, 2015, the U.S. federal court judge granted in part and deferred in part the EPA's motion for summary judgement on the FOIA litigation. The court accepted the EPA's position that it had made an adequate search for documents but left the matter open should the EPA not meet its obligations in the FACA litigation or if additional documents surface. Additionally, the judge ordered the EPA to produce a sample of 183 partially or fully withheld documents so that it could conduct an in camera review of the sample and test the merits of the EPA's withholdings under the deliberative process privilege. Before producing this sample to the Court, the EPA chose to voluntarily release 115 documents (or 63% of the sample ordered by the Court), relinquishing its claim of privilege as to these documents.

In briefings before the Court, the Pebble Partnership argued that the voluntary release of 63% of the agency's same documents conclusively demonstrated that the EPA had been over broad in its assertion of the deliberative process privilege, particularly because the content of the voluntarily released documents was not in fact deliberative. The Court agreed, finding that the EPA "improperly withheld documents in full," and that "many of the documents that the defendant released should have been released to begin with because the portions that the defendant released were not deliberative." It then ordered the EPA to review an additional 65 documents. Of these 65 documents, the EPA voluntarily released 55 documents in whole or in part (or 85% of the documents). Given the EPA's high rate of release, the Pebble Partnership submitted a brief to the Court arguing that the EPA should be forced to review the remaining documents being withheld and arguing that judgment should not be granted to the agency at this time. The Court agreed, concluding that it had "no confidence that [the EPA] has properly withheld documents, either in full or in part, pursuant to the deliberative process privilege." The Court reiterated its earlier finding that EPA had been withholding documents that "should never have been withheld to begin with." As a result, the Court ordered the Agency to re-evaluate all remaining documents the EPA is withholding in response to the Pebble Partnership's January 2014 FOIA request and to submit these documents for in camera review. After this review, the Court issued an order resolving Pebble's challenges to the remaining withholdings and forcing EPA, yet again, to produce additional documents that the agency had been improperly withholding for over two years.

On October 27, 2016, the Pebble Partnership and the EPA filed a joint Notice in federal court stating their intent to enter into mediation in an effort to resolve ongoing litigation under FACA.

The Pebble Partnership and the EPA filed several joint Notices in federal court to stay the ongoing FACA litigation between December 30, 2016 and May 11, 2017, and the Court's November 2014 Preliminary Injunction remained

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

in effect. During this period, the Pebble Partnership and the EPA engaged in direct discussions, which led to the joint settlement agreement announced on May 12, 2017.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(a) Statement of Compliance

These Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with IAS 34, *Interim Financial Reporting*, as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") and interpretations issued by the IFRS Interpretations Committee ("IFRIC"s). They do not include all of the information required by International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") for complete annual financial statements, and should be read in conjunction with the Group's consolidated financial statements as at and for the year ended December 31, 2016, which were filed under the Company's profile on SEDAR at www.sedar.com. Accounting policies applied herein are the same as those applied in the Group's annual financial statements other than those as discussed in (c) and (e) below. These Financial Statements were authorized for issue by the Audit and Risk Committee on November 9, 2017.

(b) Basis of Preparation and Consolidation

These Financial Statements have been prepared on a historical cost basis using the accrual basis of accounting, except for cash flow information.

Intercompany balances and transactions, including any unrealized income and expenses arising from intercompany transactions, are eliminated in full on consolidation.

There was no change in the composition of the Group during the reporting period.

(c) Financial Instruments

Non-derivative financial assets:

Held-to-maturity investments are non-derivative financial assets with fixed or determinable payments and fixed maturity dates that the Group has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity. Subsequent to initial recognition, held-to-maturity investments are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method less any impairment. The Group invested in fixed maturity guaranteed investment certificates during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 (note 4).

(d) Significant Accounting Estimates and Judgments

There was no change in the use of estimates and judgments during the current period as compared to those described in Note 2 in the Group's Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2016.

(e) Amendments, Interpretations, Revised and New Standards Adopted by the Group

The Group adopted the following amendments and annual improvements that became effective January 1, 2017:

- Amendments to IAS 7, Statement of Cash Flows Disclosure Initiative
- Amendments to IAS 12, Income Taxes Recognition of Deferred Tax Assets for Unrealised Losses
- Annual improvements to IFRS 2014 2016 Cycle Amendments to IFRS 12, Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities

The abovementioned amendments and annual improvements had no material effect on the Financial Statements.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

Early adoption

• Amendments to IFRS 2, Share-based Payment ("IFRS 2") – Classification and Measurement of Share-based Payment Transactions

The amendments to IFRS 2 clarify: a) the accounting for cash-settled share-based payment transactions that include a performance condition and include accounting requirements; b) the classification of share based payment transactions with net settlement features. This amendment provides for an exception for a share-based payment arrangement that is settled on a net basis to be classified as equity-settled in its entirety provided the share-based payment would have been classified as equity-settled had it not included the net settlement feature; and c) the accounting for modifications of share-based payment transactions from cash-settled to equity-settled. The amendments are effective for annual periods on or after January 1, 2018 with early application permitted.

The Group has early adopted these amendments and accordingly has classified share-based compensation with a net settlement feature as equity-settled and measured it accordingly (note 7(f)).

(f) Accounting Standards, Amendments and Revised Standards Not Yet Effective

Effective for annual periods commencing on or after January 1, 2018

- IFRS 9, Financial Instruments ("IFRS 9")
- IFRS 15, Revenue from Contracts with Customers ("IFRS 15")

The Group anticipates that the adoption of IFRS 9 and IFRS 15 will have no material impact on its financial statements given the extent of its current use of financial instruments in the ordinary course of business and the current stage of development in the Group's operations.

Effective for annual periods commencing on or after January 1, 2019

• IFRS 16, Leases ("IFRS 16")

The Group anticipates that the adoption of IFRS 16 will not have a significant impact other than the accounting for office, accommodation and storage leases the Group may have entered into where the minimum lease term is more than 12 months. The Group currently has a 5 year office lease (refer note 12).

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

3. MINERAL PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

The Group's exploration and evaluation assets are comprised of the following:

Nine months ended September 30, 2017	Miner	al Property interest ¹	 ant and lipment	Total
Cost				
Beginning and ending balance	\$	112,541	\$ 881	\$ 113,422
Accumulated depreciation Beginning balance	\$	-	\$ (558)	\$ (558)
Current charge ²		_	(124)	(124)
Ending balance	\$	-	\$ (682)	\$ (682)
Foreign currency translation difference		19,283	193	19,476
Net carrying value - Ending balance	\$	131,824	\$ 392	\$ 132,216

Year ended December 31, 2016	Mineral Property interest ¹		Plant and equipment		Total
Cost			•	•	
Beginning balance	\$	112,541	\$	1,032	\$ 113,573
Dispositions		_		(151)	(151)
Ending balance	\$	112,541	\$	881	\$ 113,422
					_
Accumulated depreciation					
Beginning balance	\$	_	\$	(481)	\$ (481)
Current charge ²		_		(205)	(205)
Eliminated on disposal		_		128	128
Ending balance	\$	_	\$	(558)	\$ (558)
Foreign currency translation difference		29,381		227	29,608
Net carrying value - Ending balance	\$	141,922	\$	550	\$ 142,472

Notes

- 1. Comprises the Pebble Project, a contiguous block of 2,402 mineral claims covering approximately 417 square miles located in southwest Alaska, 17 miles (27 kilometers) from the villages of Iliamna and Newhalen, and approximately 200 miles (320 kilometers) southwest of the city of Anchorage.
- 2. Depreciation is included in exploration and evaluation expenses.

4. HELD-TO-MATURITY INVESTMENTS

	Septen	nber 30	Dece	mber 31
		2017		2016
Total - Guaranteed Investment Certificates	\$	6,678	\$	_

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

The investments are denominated in US and Canadian dollars, earn interest between 1.45% and 1.46% per annum and mature in November of this year.

5. AMOUNTS RECEIVABLE AND PREPAID EXPENSES

	Septen	nber 30	Dece	mber 31
		2017		2016
Sales tax receivable	\$	84	\$	50
Amounts receivable		131		138
Prepaid expenses		509		491
Total	\$	724	\$	679

6. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

(a) Cash and Cash Equivalents

	Septe	mber 30	Dece	mber 31
		2017		2016
Business and savings accounts	\$	20,387	\$	7,196
Guaranteed Investment Certificates		10,562		_
Total	\$	30,949	\$	7,196

Supplementary cash flow information

Non-cash financing activities:

• During the nine months to September 30, 2017, the Group issued 22,094 common shares being the net amount after the settlement of tax obligations on the issue of 35,876 restricted share units which vested and paid out (note 7(f)).

(b) Restricted Cash

The Group has a cash deposit of US\$600 (\$748) with a United States financial institution which has been pledged to the surety provider of the surety bond accepted by the Alaskan regulatory authorities (see below). The cash deposit has been invested in US Treasury Bills. The cash deposit will be released once any reclamation work required has been performed and assessed by the Alaskan regulatory authorities.

The Group posted a bond of US\$2,000 with the Alaskan regulatory authorities for a performance guaranty related to any potential reclamation liability as a condition of the Miscellaneous Land Use Permit granted to the Pebble Partnership for its ongoing activities at the Pebble Project.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

7. CAPITAL AND RESERVES

(a) Authorized Share Capital

At September 30, 2017, the authorized share capital comprised an unlimited (2016 – unlimited) number of common shares with no par value. All issued shares are fully paid.

(b) Financings

January 2017 Bought Deal Financing

The Group completed a bought deal offering (the "Offering") of 20,240,000 common shares at US\$1.85 per common share for gross proceeds of US\$37,440 (\$49,067). The Offering was completed by way of a prospectus filed in all of the provinces of Canada, other than Québec, and was offered in the United States pursuant to a prospectus filed as part of an effective registration statement on Form F-10 filed with the US Securities and Exchange Commission under the Canada/U.S. multi-jurisdictional disclosure system. After transactions costs of \$3,180, including a 5% commission paid to the underwriters, the Group raised net proceeds of \$45,887.

June 2016 Prospectus Financing

The Group completed a prospectus offering of 38,000,000 units in the capital of the Company at a price of \$0.45 per unit for gross proceeds of approximately \$17,100. Each unit consisted of one common share and one common share purchase warrant, which entitles the holder to purchase an additional common share at an exercise price of \$0.65 per common share until June 10, 2021. The Group incurred \$1,072 in issuance costs to that point related to agents, advisory, regulatory and legal fees. The Group apportioned the gross proceeds and issuance costs between share capital and warrants based on the relative fair values of the common shares and warrants on date of issue. Accordingly, net proceeds of \$10,345 was allocated to share capital and \$5,683 to warrants.

July 2016 Private Placement Financing

In July 2016, the Group completed a private placement of 4,444,376 units in the capital of the Company, each unit comprising of one common share and one share purchase warrant, at a price of \$0.45 per unit for gross proceeds of \$2,000. Each share purchase warrant is exercisable into one common share at an exercise price of \$0.65 per common share until June 10, 2021. The Group incurred a \$30 in issuance costs to that point related to regulatory and legal fees. The Group apportioned the gross proceeds and issuance costs between share capital and warrants based on their relative fair values on date of issue. Accordingly, net proceeds of \$1,267 was allocated to share capital and \$703 to warrants.

(c) Share Purchase Warrants and Options not Issued under the Group's Incentive Plan

The following reconciles outstanding warrants and non-employee options (options which were not issued under the Group's incentive plan (note 7(d)), each exercisable to acquire one common share of the Company, at the beginning and end of the period:

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

	<u>-</u>		Nine months	ended Septembe	er 30, 2017	
Exercise		Beginning				Ending
price (\$)	Expiry date	Balance	Issued	Exercised	Expired	Balance
Options issu	ied pursuant to the acqui	sition of Cannon Po	int Resource	S ¹		
0.37	July 23, 2017	18,800	-	(18,800)	-	-
0.37	June 30, 2019	56,400	-	-	-	56,400
0.40	June 30, 2019	148,050	-	(100,000)	-	48,050
0.37	March 10, 2021	9,400	-	-	-	9,400
0.40	March 10, 2021	82,250	-	-	-	82,250
0.37	December 15, 2021	37,600	-	-	-	37,600
0.40	December 12, 2022	56,400	-	-	-	56,400
0.29	December 8, 2024	37,600	-	-	-	37,600
Total		446,500	_	(118,800)	-	327,700
Warrants is	sued pursuant to the acq	uisition of Mission (Gold ¹			
0.55	July 9, 2020	11,288,698	-	(3,503,210)	-	7,785,488
3.00	September 14, 2017	2,871,676	-	(8,952)	(2,862,724)	-
Total		14,160,374	_	(3,512,162)	(2,862,724)	7,785,488
Warrants is	sued pursuant to financi	ngs ²				
0.65	June 10, 2021	39,396,410	-	(8,019,000)	-	31,377,410
Total		39,396,410	=	(8,019,000)	-	31,377,410
Grand Total		54,003,284	_	(11,649,962)	(2,862,724)	39,490,598

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

	Nine months ended September 30, 2016						
Exercise price (\$)	Expiry date	Beginning Balance	Issued	Exercised/ converted	Expired	Ending Balance	
Options issue	ed pursuant to the acquisition	on of Cannon Poin	t ¹				
0.29	January 29, 2016	150,400	-	(150,400)	_	-	
0.37	January 29, 2016	220,900	_	(61,100)	(159,800)	_	
0.40	January 29, 2016	150,400	-	_	(150,400)	-	
0.43	January 29, 2016	37,600	-	_	(37,600)	-	
0.37	July 23, 2017	18,800	_	_	_	18,800	
0.37	June 30, 2019	56,400	-	_	_	56,400	
0.40	June 30, 2019	225,600	_	_	_	225,600	
0.37	March 10, 2021	9,400	_	_	_	9,400	
0.40	March 10, 2021	150,400	-	_	_	150,400	
0.37	December 15, 2021	37,600	_	_	_	37,600	
0.40	December 12, 2022	75,200	_	_	_	75,200	
0.29	December 8, 2024	37,600	-	_	_	37,600	
Total		1,170,300	_	(211,500)	(347,800)	611,000	
Warrants iss	ued pursuant to the acquisit	tion of Mission Go	ld ¹				
0.55	July 9, 2020	13,801,672	-	(38,328)	-	13,763,344	
3.00	September 14, 2017	2,871,676	-	-	_	2,871,676	
Total		16,673,348	-	(38,328)	=	16,635,020	
Warrants iss	ued pursuant to financings a	2					
0.65	June 10, 2021	_	42,444,376	_	_	42,444,376	
Total		-	42,444,376	_	-	42,444,376	
Grand Total		17,843,648	42,444,376	(249,828)	(347,800)	59,690,396	

Notes to previous tables:

- 1. The Group exchanged options and warrants to purchase shares in Cannon Point Resources Ltd. ("Cannon Point") and warrants to purchase shares in Mission Gold Ltd. ("Mission Gold") for options and warrants to purchase common shares in the Company pursuant to the acquisition of Cannon Point in October 2015 and Mission Gold in December 2015 respectively.
- 2. Refer to the 2016 prospectus and private placement financings in Note 7(b).

At September 30, 2017, warrants and non-employee options had a weighted average exercise price of 0.63 (December 31, 2016 – 0.75) and a weighted average remaining life of 0.51 years (December 31, 2016 – 0.75) are very very life of 0.63 years).

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

(d) Share Purchase Option Compensation Plan

The following reconciles the Group's outstanding share purchase options ("options") issued pursuant to the Group's incentive plan for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016:

	2017		2016	
		Weighted		Weighted
		average		average
		exercise		exercise
	Number of	price	Number of	price
Continuity of options	options	(\$/option)	options	(\$/option)
Beginning Balance	15,861,131	0.92	9,755,600	1.27
Granted	5,808,000	1.75	6,806,000	0.49
Expired	(508,100)	2.88	(27,000)	15.44
Exercised	(1,237,200)	1.40	(56,667)	0.50
Forfeited	(19,000)	0.49	(38,000)	0.50
Cancelled	-	-	(86,600)	1.40
Ending Balance	19,904,831	1.08	16,353,333	0.92

For options granted in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, the weighted average fair value was estimated at 1.19 per option respectively (2016 - 0.48 and 0.46 per option respectively) and was based on the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following weighted average assumptions:

	Three n	nonths	Nine months			
Assumptions	2017	2016	2017	2016		
Risk-free interest rate	1.54%	0.52%	1.54%	0.54%		
Expected life	4.51 years	4.70 years	4.51 years	4.73 years		
Expected volatility ¹	93.82%	83.15%	93.82%	82.88%		
Grant date share price	\$1.74	\$0.69	\$1.74	\$0.67		
Expected dividend yield	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil		

Note:

1. Expected volatility is based on the historical and implied volatility of the Company's common share price on the TSX.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

Details of options exercised during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 were as follows:

		Weighted average	Weighted average market share price
	Number	exercise price	on exercise
Period	of options	(\$/option)	(\$/option)
January 2017	502,200	1.60	3.70
February 2017	484,000	1.65	3.15
April 2017	15,000	0.89	2.03
May 2017	223,000	0.51	2.40
July 2017	10,000	0.50	1.78
September 2017	3,000	0.50	2.28
	1,237,200	1.40	3.21

Details of options exercised during the nine months ended September 30, 2016 were as follows:

			Weighted average market share price
	Number	Weighted average exercise price	on exercise
Period	of options	(\$/option)	(\$/option)
August 30, 2016	10,000	0.49	0.96
September 2016	46,667	0.50	1.00
	56,667	0.50	1.00

The following table summarizes information about the Group's options as at the following reporting dates:

	September	September 30, 2017		r 31, 2016
		Weighted average		Weighted average
		remaining		remaining
		contractual		contractual
	Number of	life	Number of	life
Exercise prices (\$)	options	(years)	options	(years)
0.48	450,000	3.46	600,000	4.21
0.49	6,064,000	3.51	6,147,000	4.25
0.50	3,147,831	2.53	3,266,831	3.23
0.72	200,000	1.96	200,000	2.71
0.89	1,125,000	1.54	1,180,500	2.20
1.75	5,808,000	4.33	_	_
1.77	3,110,000	1.41	3,991,800	1.71
3.00	-	-	475,000	0.49
	19,904,831	3.14	15,861,131	3.11

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

The following table summarizes information about the Group's options exercisable as at the following reporting dates:

	September	r 30, 2017	Decembe	r 31, 2016
		Weighted average		Weighted average
		remaining		remaining
	Number of	contractual	Number of	contractual
	options	life	options	life
Exercise prices (\$)	exercisable	(years)	exercisable	(years)
0.48	450,000	3.46	200,000	4.21
0.49	4,001,340	3.51	2,009,670	4.28
0.50	1,964,676	2.59	2,074,676	3.27
0.72	200,000	1.96	200,000	2.71
0.89	1,099,998	1.54	1,113,498	2.22
1.75	1,936,000	4.33	_	-
1.77	3,110,000	1.41	3,991,800	1.71
3.00	-	-	475,000	0.49
	12,762,014	2.79	10,064,644	2.61

The weighted average exercise price for exercisable options as at September 30, 2017 was \$1.03 (December 31, 2016 – \$1.17) per option.

(e) Deferred Share Units ("DSUs")

For the nine months ended September 30, 2017, the Group has a total of 458,129 DSUs outstanding (2016 – 458,129). The Group recognized share-based compensation on grant date (2017 – \$nil; 2016 – \$316).

(f) Restricted Share Units ("RSUs")

	2017		2016		
		Weighted		Weighted	
		average		average	
	Number of	fair value	Number of	fair value	
Continuity of RSUs	RSUs	(\$/RSU)	RSUs	(\$/RSU)	
Beginning Balance	639,031	0.69	_	_	
Granted 2,3	542,371	2.24	639,031	0.69	
Cash settled ¹	(639,031)	0.69	-	-	
Withheld ³	(13,782)	2.23	-	-	
Shares issued ³	(22,094)	2.23	_		
Ending Balance	506,495	2.24	639,031	0.69	

Notes

1. On July 11, 2017, 639,031 RSUs granted to the Group's Board Chair, Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") vested. The Group settled the equity obligation by making a cash payment of \$1,098 based on the 5 day average of TSX closing prices for the Company's common shares up to July 11, 2017 of \$1.72 per RSU pursuant to the terms of the RSU Plan. This payment has been recognized as a decrease in the

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

equity settled share-based compensation reserve in equity ("SBC Reserve"). In the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, the Group recognized \$13 (2016 – \$98) and \$232 (2016 – \$98) respectively as share-based compensation with a corresponding increase in the SBC Reserve.

- 2. On July 27, 2017, the Group's Compensation Committee approved a 2016 executive short term incentive bonus/compensation of \$951 to the Group's Board Chair, CEO and CFO payable through a combination of cash and RSUs. Of the total compensation, \$190 was paid in cash with the balance paid by the grant of 434,742 RSUs. The RSUs have a one year term and vest in July 28, 2018. The Group has determined that this grant should be accounted for as cash-settled given the cash settlement of the previous grant to the same individuals. Accordingly, during the quarter, the Group has recognized \$173 as share-based compensation with a corresponding increase in a RSU liability for this grant based on the quoted market value of the Company's common shares as of the reporting date. At the end of each reporting period, until the RSU liability is settled, the RSU liability's fair value is remeasured based on the quoted TSX closing price for common shares multiplied by the number of RSUs that will vest and be converted into common shares, and amortized over the vesting period of the RSUs, with any change in fair value charged to share-based compensation.
- 3. On September 15, 2017, the Group granted 107,629 RSUs to the CEO of the Pebble Limited Partnership ("PLP CEO") with the following vesting terms: 1/3 vested on grant date; 1/3 vest 1 year from grant date; and 1/3 vest 2 years from grant date. The fair value of the RSUs granted was \$240 based on the TSX quoted market value of the Company's shares at date of grant. As the terms of the grant stipulate that payment is to be made in common shares of the Company, the Group has treated these RSUs as equity-settled. With the first tranche of 35,876 RSUs that vested on date of grant, 13,782 RSUs were withheld to settle and pay the PLP CEO's tax obligations of \$30 and the balance of 22,094 RSUs were issued to the PLP CEO as common shares. The Group has recognized \$85 as share-based compensation with a corresponding increase in the SBC Reserve during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017.

(g) Foreign Currency Translation Reserve

The foreign currency translation reserve represents accumulated exchange differences arising on the translation, into the Group's presentation currency (the Canadian dollar), of the results of operations and net assets of the Group's subsidiaries with a US dollar functional currency.

8. RELATED PARTY BALANCES AND TRANSACTIONS

The components of transactions to related parties is as follows:

	Septen	ıber 30	Decen	nber 31
Payables to related parties		2017		2016
Hunter Dickinson Services Inc. ("HDSI") (b)	\$	365	\$	240
RSU liability (a)		173		_
Total	\$	538	\$	240

Balances and transactions between the Company and its subsidiaries, which are related parties of the Company, have been eliminated on consolidation (note 2(b)). Details between the Group and other related parties are disclosed below:

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

(a) Transactions and Balances with Key Management Personnel

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016, the aggregate value of transactions with key management personnel ("KMP"), being the Group's directors, CEO, CFO and senior management including the Senior Vice President ("SVP"), Corporate Development, Vice President ("VP"), Corporate Communications, VP, Engineering, VP, Public Affairs, PLP CEO, Chair of Pebble Mines Corp ("PMC Chair"), SVP, Corporate Affairs (Pebble Partnership) ("PLP SVP") and Company Secretary, were as follows:

	Three r	nonths		Nine n	nonths	
Transaction	2017		2016	2017		2016
Compensation						
Amounts paid and payable to HDSI for						
services of KMP employed by HDSI $^{ m 1}$	\$ 780	\$	550	\$ 2,440	\$	1,707
Amounts paid and payable to KMP ²	475		635	1,641		1,863
Bonuses paid to KMP ³	190		-	1,330		
	1,445		1,185	5,411		3,570
Share-based compensation 4	2,665		1,718	3,597		1,943
Total compensation	\$ 4,110	\$	2,903	\$ 9,008	\$	5,513

Notes:

- 1. The Group's CEO, CFO, Board Chair and senior management, other than disclosed in 2 below, are employed by the Group through Hunter Dickinson Services Inc. (refer (b)).
- 2. Represents short term employee benefits, including salaries and director's fees paid and payable to the Group's independent directors, PLP CEO, PMC Chair and PLP SVP.
- 3. The PLP CEO was paid a performance bonus for the 2015 and 2016 fiscal years respectively. The Group's Board Chair, CEO and CFO were paid incentive bonus/compensation for 2016 (note 7(f)).
- 4. Relates to grants of DSUs, RSUs and options during the period (notes 7(d) (f)).

During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, the Group settled vested KMP RSUs by making an aggregate cash payment of 1,098 (note 7(f)) and 218,800 (2016 – Nil) options were exercised by KMP with a weighted average exercise price of 0.47 and a weighted average market price on exercise of 2.36 for proceeds to the Group of 103.

(b) Transactions and Balances with other Related Parties

Hunter Dickinson Services Inc. ("HDSI") is a private company that provides geological, engineering, environmental, corporate development, financial administrative and management services to the Group and its subsidiaries at annually set rates pursuant to a management services agreement. The annually set rates also include a component of overhead costs such as office rent, information technology services and general administrative support services. HDSI also incurs third party costs on behalf of the Group which are reimbursed by the Group at cost. Several directors and other key management personnel of HDSI, who are close business associates, are also key management personnel of the Group.

The aggregate value of transactions and outstanding balances with HDSI for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 were as follows:

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

	 Three mo	onths		 Nine n	nonths	
Transactions	2017		2016	2017		2016
Services rendered by HDSI:	\$ 1,297	\$	864	\$ 3,659	\$	2,888
Technical	571		259	1,497		886
Engineering	179		_	391		82
Environmental	184		73	467		274
Socioeconomic	161		158	536		492
Other technical services	47		28	103		38
General and administrative	726		605	2,162		2,002
Management, corporate communications,						
secretarial, financial and						
administration	561		509	1,655		1,616
Shareholder communication	165		96	507		386
Reimbursement of third party expenses	126		114	496		379
Conferences and travel	38		35	223		126
Insurance	20		12	62		54
Office supplies and information technology	68		67	211		199
Total value of transactions	\$ 1,423	\$	978	\$ 4,155	\$	3,267

9. TRADE AND OTHER PAYABLES

	September 30		Dece	mber 31
Falling due within the year		2017		2016
Trade ¹	\$	13,181	\$	1,260
Total	\$	13,181	\$	1,260
Non-current liabilities				
Trade ¹	\$	13,192	\$	_
Total	\$	13,192	\$	_

Note to tables

1. Current and non-current trade payables include additional legal fees totalling \$21,154 (US\$16,603) which became due and payable on settlement with the EPA announced in May 2017. A tranche of \$6,866 (US\$5,389) is payable in December 2017. The remaining amount is payable as follows: \$810 (US\$636) in the first quarter of 2018 and two equal payments of \$6,739 (US\$5,289) in December 2018 and 2019 respectively. The last two payments to be made are included in non-current liabilities.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

10. BASIC AND DILUTED LOSS PER SHARE

The calculation of basic and diluted loss per share was based on the following:

	Three months ended September 30			Nine months ended September 30				
		2017		2016		2017		2016
Loss attributable to common shareholders	\$	17,888	\$	6,607	\$	53,482	\$	21,458
Weighted average number of common								
shares outstanding (000s)		303,962		264,622		298,660		239,211

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, the diluted loss per share does not include the effect of 19,904,831 (2016 - 16,353,333) employee options outstanding, 964,624 (2016 - 1,097,160) other share-based payments and 39,490,598 (2016 - 59,690,396) non-employee options and warrants as they are anti-dilutive.

11. EMPLOYMENT COSTS

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, the Group recorded \$5,869 (2016 – \$3,176) and \$12,169 (2016 – \$8,397) respectively, in salaries and benefits, including share-based payments and amounts paid to HDSI (note 8(b)) for services provided to the Group by HDSI personnel.

12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

(a) Leases

The Group has the following commitments as of September 30, 2017:

	2017	Fiscal	Fiscal	
	(After September 30)	2018	2019	Total
Anchorage office lease 1	US\$ 29	US\$ 179	US\$ 185	US\$ 393
Pebble Project site leases ^{2,3}	69	115	-	184
Total	US\$ 98	US\$ 294	US\$ 185	US\$ 577
Total in Canadian dollars ⁴	\$ 122	\$ 367	\$ 231	\$ 720

Notes:

- 1. The Group entered into a new 5 year lease which expires November 30, 2022, subsequent to the reporting date.
- 2. The Group has leases for a hangar at site and core storage. The hanger lease expires May 1, 2018 and the core yard lease expires June 1, 2018.
- 3. In July 2017, the Group entered into a one-year hanger lease with an expiry of July 1, 2018.
- 4. Converted at the Wall Street Journal closing rate of \$1.2471 per US\$ on September 30, 2017.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 $\,$

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

(b) Legal

On February 14, 2017, short seller investment firm Kerrisdale Capital Management LLC published a negative piece (the "Kerrisdale Report") regarding the Pebble Project, which the Group is attempting to develop. Three putative shareholder class actions were filed against the Company and certain of its current officers and directors in US federal courts, specifically the Central District of California (Los Angeles) and the Southern District of New York (New York City). The cases are captioned: *Diaz v. Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd. et al, Case No. 17-cv-01241 (C.D. Cal.), Kirwin v. Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd. et al, Case No. 17-cv-01238 (S.D.N.Y.)* and *Schubert v. Northern Dynasty Minerals, Ltd., et al., Case No. 1:17-CV-02437 (S.D.N.Y.)*. The complaints appear to rely on the claims made in the Kerrisdale Report and allege damages to a class of investors who purchased shares of the Company prior to the publication of the Kerrisdale Report and allege liability for losses pursuant to Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act of 1934 and SEC Rule 10b-5 thereunder, as well as control person liability against the individual defendants pursuant to Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. The plaintiffs in both the *Kirwin* and *Schubert* actions have since voluntarily dismissed their claims without prejudice. The Company understands that the plaintiffs in the *Diaz* action continue to litigate and recently filed an amended complaint. The Company will be filing a motion to dismiss it with the court in the coming months. The Company believes that the allegations in the existing complaint are without merit, and it intends to defend itself vigorously in the *Diaz* action.



MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2017

Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd. Management's Discussion And Analysis Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

Table of Contents

1.1	DATE		3
1.2	OVERVIE	Overview	
	1.2.1	Pebble Project	
		1.2.1.1 PROJECT HISTORY	
		1.2.1.2 TECHNICAL PROGRAMS	
		1.2.1.3 LEGAL MATTERS	
	1.2.2	FINANCING	
	1.2.3	Market Trends	17
1.3	SELECTE	ED ANNUAL INFORMATION	19
1.4	SUMMA	ARY AND DISCUSSION OF QUARTERLY RESULTS	19
1.5	RESULTS	S OF OPERATIONS	20
1.6	LIQUIDIT	тү	22
1.7	CAPITAL	L RESOURCES	23
1.8	OFF-BA	NLANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS	23
1.9	TRANSA	ACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES	23
1.10	Fourth	d Quarter	24
1.11	PROPOS	sed Transactions	24
1.12	CRITICAL	L ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES	24
1.13	CHANGE	ES IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES INCLUDING INITIAL ADOPTION	24
1.14	FINANCI	IAL INSTRUMENTS AND OTHER INSTRUMENTS	24
1.15	OTHER I	MD&A REQUIREMENTS	27
	1.15.1	DISCLOSURE OF OUTSTANDING SHARE DATA	27
	1.15.2	DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	27
	1.15.3	MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING	27
	1.15.4	CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING	28
	1.15.5	LIMITATIONS OF CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	28
	1.15.6	RISK FACTORS	29

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

1.1 Date

This Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements (the "Interim Financial Statements") for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 of Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd. ("Northern Dynasty" or the "Company") and the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2016 (the "Financial Statements") and the annual MD&A for the same period, as publicly filed under the Company's profile on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

The Company reports in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") and interpretations of the IFRS Interpretations Committee (together, "IFRS"). The following disclosure and associated Interim Financial Statements are presented in accordance with IFRS. This MD&A is prepared as of November 9, 2017. All dollar amounts herein are expressed in Canadian dollars, unless otherwise specified.

This MD&A contains certain forward-looking information and forward-looking statements within the meaning of applicable Canadian securities laws and forward-looking statements within the meaning of the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements describe our future plans, strategies, expectations and objectives, and are generally, but not always, identifiable by use of the words "may", "will", "should", "continue", "expect", "anticipate", "estimate", "believe", "intend", "plan" or "project" or the negative of these words or other variations on these words or comparable terminology.

Forward-looking statements contained or incorporated by reference into this MD&A include, without limitation, statements regarding:

- our ability to proceed with applications for federal and state permitting under the *Clean Water Act* and the *National Environmental Policy Act*;
- our expectations regarding the potential for securing the necessary permitting of a mine at the Pebble Project;
- the outcome of any legal proceedings in which we are engaged;
- our ability to raise capital for the exploration and development activities;
- our expected financial performance in future periods;
- our plan of operations; and
- factors relating to our investment decisions.

Forward-looking information is based on the reasonable assumptions, estimates, analysis and opinions of management made in light of its experience and its perception of trends, current conditions and expected developments, as well as other factors that management believes to be relevant and reasonable in the circumstances at the date that such statements are made, but which may prove to be incorrect. We believe that the assumptions and expectations reflected in such forward-looking information are reasonable.

Key assumptions upon which the Company's forward-looking information are based include:

- that we will be able to secure sufficient capital necessary for continued environmental assessment and
 permitting activities and engineering work which must be completed prior to any potential development
 of the Pebble Project which would then require engineering and financing in order to advance to
 ultimate construction;
- that we will ultimately be able to demonstrate that a mine at the Pebble Project can be developed and
 operated in an environmentally sound and socially responsible manner, meeting all relevant federal,
 state and local regulatory requirements so that we will be ultimately able to obtain permits authorizing
 construction of a mine at the Pebble Project;

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

- that the market prices of copper, gold, molybdenum and silver will not significantly decline or stay depressed for a lengthy period of time;
- that our key personnel will continue their employment with us; and
- that we will continue to be able to secure minimal adequate financing on acceptable terms.

Readers are cautioned that the foregoing list is not exhaustive of all factors and assumptions that may have been used. Forward-looking statements are also subject to risks and uncertainties facing our business, any of which could have a material impact on our outlook.

Some of the risks we face and the uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements include:

- an inability to ultimately obtain permitting for a mine at the Pebble Project;
- an inability to continue to fund exploration and development activities and other operating costs;
- the highly cyclical and speculative nature of the mineral resource exploration business;
- the pre-development stage economic viability and technical uncertainties of the Pebble Project and the lack of known reserves on the Pebble Project;
- an inability to recover even the financial statement carrying values of the Pebble Project if we cease to continue on a going concern basis;
- the potential for loss of the services of key executive officers;
- a history of, and expectation of further, financial losses from operations impacting our ability to continue on a going concern basis;
- the volatility of copper, gold, molybdenum and silver prices and mining share prices;
- the inherent risk involved in the exploration, development and production of minerals, and the presence of unknown geological and other physical and environmental hazards at the Pebble Project;
- the potential for changes in, or the introduction of new, government regulations relating to mining, including laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment and project legal titles;
- potential claims by third parties to titles or rights involving the Pebble Project;
- the possible inability to insure our operations against all risks;
- uncertainty related to litigation;
- the highly competitive nature of the mining business;
- the potential equity dilution to current shareholders from future equity financings; and
- that we have never paid dividends and will not do so in the foreseeable future.

While the effort was made to list the primary risk factors, this list should not be considered exhaustive of the factors that may affect any of our forward-looking statements or information. Forward-looking statements or information are statements about the future and are inherently uncertain, and actual achievements of the Company or other future events or conditions may differ materially from those reflected in the forward-looking statements or information due to a variety of risks, uncertainties and other factors, including, without limitation, the risks and uncertainties described above and otherwise contained herein.

Our forward-looking statements and risk factors are based on the reasonable beliefs, expectations and opinions of management on the date of this MD&A. Although we have attempted to identify important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in forward-looking information, there may be other factors that cause results not to be as anticipated, estimated or intended. There is no assurance that such information will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such information. Accordingly, readers should appreciate the inherent uncertainty of, and not place undue reliance on forward-looking information. We do not undertake to update any forward-looking information, except as, and to the extent required by, applicable securities laws.

For more information on the Company, investors should review the Company's annual information form and home jurisdiction filings that are available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

The Company reviews its forward-looking statements on an ongoing basis and updates this information when circumstances require it.

Cautionary Note to Investors Concerning Estimates of Measured and Indicated Resources

The following section uses the terms "measured resources" and "indicated resources". The Company advises investors that although those terms are recognized and required by Canadian regulations, the SEC does not recognize them. Investors are cautioned not to assume that all or any part of mineral deposits in these categories will ever be converted into reserves.

Cautionary Note to Investors Concerning Estimates of Inferred Resources

The following section uses the term "inferred resources". The Company advises investors that although this term is recognized and required by Canadian regulations, the SEC does not recognize it. "Inferred resources" have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence, and as to their economic and legal feasibility. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of a mineral resource will ever be upgraded to a higher category. Under Canadian rules, estimates of inferred mineral resources may not form the basis of economic studies, except in rare cases. Investors are cautioned not to assume that all or any part of an inferred resource exists, or is economically or legally mineable.

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

1.2 Overview

Northern Dynasty is a mineral exploration company which, through subsidiaries, holds a 100% interest in mining claims that are part of or in the vicinity of the Pebble Copper-Gold-Molybdenum-Silver Project (the "Pebble Project" or "Pebble") in southwest Alaska, USA ("US"). The Company's business in Alaska is operated through the Pebble Limited Partnership (the "Pebble Partnership"), a wholly-owned Alaskan registered limited partnership.

The Pebble Project is an initiative to develop one of the world's most important mineral resources. The current mineral resources, as estimated at a 0.30% copper equivalent (CuEQ)¹ cut-off grade comprise:

- 6.44 billion tonnes in the combined Measured and Indicated categories at a grade of 0.40% copper, 0.34 g/t gold, 240 ppm molybdenum and 1.66 g/t silver, containing 57 billion pounds of copper, 70 million ounces of gold, 3.4 billion pounds of molybdenum and 344 million ounces of silver; and
- 4.46 billion tonnes in the Inferred category at a grade of 0.25% copper, 0.26 g/t gold, 222 ppm molybdenum and 1.19 g/t silver, containing 24.5 billion pounds of copper, 37 million ounces of gold, 2.2 billion pounds of molybdenum and 170 million ounces of silver.

Northern Dynasty's near-term goals are to secure a project partner(s) with the financial resources to substantially contribute to permitting, building and operating a world-class mine at Pebble and to initiate federal and state permitting in 2017.

Through the Pebble Partnership, the Company continues to advance a comprehensive program of engineering and environmental studies, field investigations and related technical studies directed toward finalizing a development design and preparing documentation to initiate federal and state permitting. To facilitate this work, the Pebble Partnership has recently appointed two senior technical leaders.

Stephen Hodgson, P. Eng., has been appointed Senior Vice President of Engineering and Project Director and will lead Pebble's engineering and project development team, with responsibility for all aspects of project design, engineering and financial studies and regulatory compliance. Mr. Hodgson is very familiar with the Pebble Project, having led engineering activities at Pebble for Northern Dynasty since 2005 and also having been part of the team that evaluated the project for Cominco in the early 1990's.

Mr. Hodgson is supported by the Pebble Partnership's new Vice President of Permitting, James Fueg, PMP, CPG, who assumes responsibility for all aspects of the project's regulatory permitting team and process, including management of environmental and socioeconomic studies, liaison with federal and state

¹ Mineral resources at December 2014 as estimated by David Gaunt, PGeo., a qualified person who is not independent of Northern Dynasty. The resource estimate is constrained by a conceptual pit that was developed using a Lerchs-Grossman algorithm. Copper equivalent ("CuEQ") incorporates the contribution of copper, gold and molybdenum. Although the estimate includes silver, it was not used as part of the CuEQ in order to facilitate comparison with previous estimates which did not consider the silver content or its potential economic contribution. CuEQ uses metal prices of US\$1.85/lb for copper, US\$902/oz for gold and US\$12.50/lb for molybdenum, and recoveries of 85% for copper 69.6% for gold, and 77.8% for molybdenum in the Pebble West zone and 89.3% for copper, 76.8% for gold, 83.7% for molybdenum in the Pebble East zone. Contained metal calculations are based on 100% recoveries. A 0.30% CuEQ cut-off is considered to be appropriate for porphyry deposit open pit mining operations in the Americas. All mineral resource estimates, cut-offs and metallurgical recoveries are subject to change as a consequence of more detailed economic analyses that would be required in pre-feasibility and feasibility studies. For additional details, see the Company's 2016 Annual Information Form and 2014 Technical Report, both of which are filed at www.sedar.com.

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

agencies and active management of Pebble's forthcoming Environmental Impact Statement ("EIS") process under the National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA"). Mr. Fueg has more than 20 years of project experience in Alaska, and was most recently the Technical Services Manager for the Donlin Gold Project in western Alaska, where he played a leadership role managing the EIS and NEPA permitting process for that project, which is expected to receive a final Record of Decision in 2018.

During the quarter, the Pebble Partnership also initiated a number of public presentations on current planning considerations for Pebble, which will significantly reduce the project's development footprint and enhance environmental safeguards. These include:

- The development scenario currently being considered would reduce the footprint of Pebble Project's major mine facilities (pit, tailings storage facility) to approximately 5.4 square miles;
- Primary mine operations would be eliminated from the Upper Talarik watershed region, minimizing
 the project's environmental footprint and addressing stakeholder concerns about salmon population
 and productivity;
- Tailing Storage Facilities ("TSF") would be constructed with enhanced buttresses and slope, and a greater safety factor. Potentially acid generating tailings would be separated from other tailings and be stored in a lined TSF, and all TSF would be located in the North Fork Koktuli drainage area;
- Pebble would no longer require the use of waste rock piles, significantly reducing potential risk associated with acid rock drainage and potential related environmental impacts;
- Pebble would not use cyanide in the recovery process. Cyanide is safely used in Alaska and around the world to increase gold recovery; however, in order to respond to stakeholder concerns, Pebble would elect not to utilize the material for this particular project;
- The development would include the creation of a new ferry route across Iliamna Lake, minimizing the total road area, stream crossings, bridges, and culverts for the Pebble Mine and, ultimately, the project's impact on local wetlands; and
- The mine would be designed to withstand the greatest possible seismicity predicted by science.

Corporate

As at September 30, 2017, the Company has \$30.9 million in cash and cash equivalents for its operating requirements and a further \$6.7 million in held-to maturity investments that will become available to the Company in November of this year. The Company has prioritized the allocation of available financial resources in order to meet key corporate and Pebble Project expenditure requirements in the near term and have available financial resources to carry forward into the first quarter of 2018. Although the Company will seek financing as necessary to advance its programs, there can be no assurances that it will be successful in obtaining additional financing. If the Company is unable to raise the necessary capital

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

resources to meet obligations as they come due, the Company will at some point have to reduce or curtail its operations.

1.2.1 Pebble Project

The Pebble property ("Pebble") is located in southwest Alaska, approximately 17 miles from the villages of Iliamna and Newhalen, and approximately 200 miles southwest of the city of Anchorage. Situated in an area of rolling hills approximately 1,000 feet above sea-level and 60 miles from tidewater on Cook Inlet, the site conditions are favorable for successful mine site and infrastructure development.

1.2.1.1 Project History

The Pebble deposit was discovered in 1987 by a prior operator, which by 1997 had developed an initial outline of the deposit.

Northern Dynasty acquired the right to earn an interest in the Pebble property in 2001. Exploration since that time has led to significant expansion of the mineral resources in the Pebble deposit, including a substantial volume of higher grade mineralization in its eastern part. The deposit also remains open to further expansion at depth and to the east. In addition, a number of other occurrences of copper, gold and molybdenum have been identified along the extensive northeast-trending mineralized system that underlies the property. The potential of these earlier-stage prospects has not yet been fully explored.

Comprehensive deposit delineation, environmental, socioeconomic and engineering studies of the Pebble deposit began in 2004. More recently, a Preliminary Assessment of the Pebble Project was completed in 2011, which provided insights into the size and scale of project that the Pebble resource might support. The Pebble Partnership continued to undertake detailed engineering, environmental and socioeconomic studies over the next two years. In February 2014, the US Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") announced a pre-emptive regulatory action under the *Clean Water Act* ("CWA") to consider restriction or a prohibition of mining activities associated with the Pebble deposit.

From 2014-2017, Northern Dynasty and the Pebble Partnership focused on a multi-dimensional strategy, including legal and other initiatives (see section 1.2.1.2) to ward off this action. These efforts were successful, resulting in the joint settlement agreement announced on May 12, 2017 (see section 1.2.1.3. *Joint Settlement Agreement*). Site and office studies are now underway to progress toward a final project design to take the Pebble Project into an EIS permitting process under NEPA, which will be led by the US Army Corps of Engineers.

From 2001, when Northern Dynasty's involvement at the Pebble Project began, to September 30, 2017, a total of \$830 million (US\$769 million) has been invested to advance the project.²

² Of this, approximately \$595 million (US\$573 million) was provided by a wholly-owned subsidiary of Anglo American plc which participated in the Pebble Partnership from 2007 to 2013, and the remainder was financed by Northern Dynasty. A major part of the expenditures during the period 2007 to 2013 were on exploration programs, resource estimates, environmental data collection and technical studies, with a significant portion spent on engineering of various possible mine development models, as well as related infrastructure, power and transportation systems. The technical and engineering studies that were completed relating to mine-site and infrastructure development are not considered to be current or necessarily representative of management's current understanding of the most likely development scenario for the Project. Accordingly, the Company is uncertain whether it can realize significant value from this prior work. Environmental baseline

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

1.2.1.2 Technical Programs

The objectives of the 2017 technical program are to finalize a development design concept and the necessary documentation to initiate state and federal permitting. Studies to consider the site layout, mine throughput and project infrastructure are underway. Activities include data collection in the field and compilation and design studies by consultants and in-house staff in the corporate offices.

Site Operations

The 2017 field program, directed toward data collection and logistics support for engineering and environmental field studies is now, largely, completed. Site activities also included a borehole monitoring and inspection program and maintenance, remediation and repair work was carried, as necessary.

Engineering

Office and field studies are being conducted to investigate and advance/update the project design, and include assessments of a number of alternatives, including:

- smaller mine concepts, including site layouts and waste management facilities;
- alternate ore treatment options;
- alternate tailings storage facility designs, including water management schemes and lined PAG³ storage;
- water treatment plants and development of surplus water release strategies;
- transportation corridor to the south of Lake Iliamna, including road and ferry options;
- facilities associated with the transportation corridor, including ferry landing sites;
- a port facility on Cook Inlet, southeast of Lake Iliamna, at the terminus of the transportation corridor; and
- a natural gas-fired power plant and associated gas pipeline.

studies and data, as well as geological information from exploration, remain important information available to the Company from this period in continuing its advancement of the Project.

³ Potentially Acid Generating material

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

2017 Field Program

The 2017 engineering field program was designed to collect additional data to support the project design, with specific focus on the proposed transportation corridor. This work completed to date in 2017 includes:

- a geophysical survey to ascertain the subsurface conditions at the proposed port site on Cook Inlet;
- bathymetric surveys at the proposed port site on Cook Inlet and the proposed ferry landings on the north and south side of Lake Iliamna;
- aerial photography to extend the digital mapping to the complete transportation corridor; and
- reconnaissance of the proposed transportation corridor to identify alternatives that minimize environmental and social impacts.

In addition to the completed work, work is underway to fabricate instrumentation to collect additional ocean data at the port site. It is expected these instruments will be installed by year end.

Environmental and Socioeconomic

Environmental Baseline Document and Supplemental Environmental Baseline Document

The purpose of the Environmental Baseline Document ("EBD") and Supplemental EDB is to provide the public, regulatory agencies and the Pebble Partnership with a detailed compendium of pre-development environmental and socioeconomic conditions in the project area.

The 27,000-page EBD for the Pebble Project was released to the public by the Pebble Partnership in January 2012. It is based on extensive environmental baseline data that has been collected since 2004 with the goal to design and plan a project that protects clean water, healthy fish and wildlife populations, and other natural resources in the region. The work was conducted by more than 40 respected independent research firms, utilizing over 100 scientific experts and engineering groups, laboratories and support services. Researchers were selected for their specific areas of expertise and Alaskan experience, with cooperating government agencies participating in several studies. Information for the EBD was gathered through field studies, laboratory tests, review of government records and other third-party sources, and interviews with Alaska residents.

The 2012 EBD characterizes a broad range of environmental and social conditions in southwest Alaska – including climate, water quality, wetlands, fish and aquatic habitat, wildlife, land and water use, socioeconomics and subsistence activities during the period 2004-2008 and from some disciplines in 2009. The EBD study is available at http://pebbleresearch.com/. The full range of data from 2009-2013 period is currently being compiled as part of the 2017 program, and will be presented in the Supplemental EBD (2009 to 2013).

⁴ The Pebble Partnership also facilitated a four-day workshop with federal and state regulatory agencies in January 2012 to present the EBD findings. The workshop was broadcast publicly via the Internet. In addition, a series of public presentations of the EBD findings was coordinated in more than 20 communities throughout southwest Alaska and elsewhere around the State. Public and expert review of the EBD was facilitated under the Keystone initiative, an independent stakeholder dialogue process initiated in late 2010 by the Keystone Center – a non-profit organization specializing in facilitating stakeholder-driven consultation processes concerning contentious, science-based issues.

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

2017 Field Program

The 2017 environmental field program was designed to collect additional multidisciplinary environmental baseline data for proposed infrastructure, such as access routes, port and ferry landing sites with the objective of characterizing the existing conditions for engineering design and permitting purposes. The program also includes wetland polygon mapping of the proposed mine site and off-site infrastructure areas.

The Pebble environmental team will also provide multi-disciplinary scientific input to:

- the engineering design team in order to optimize transportation corridor alignments while avoiding/minimizing routes in critical habitat areas, and to finalize the site water balance and strategic water release plan to protect downstream fish habitat;
- prepare the CWA 404 permit application submission to the US Army Corp of Engineers; and
- the Public Affairs team to assist with their stakeholder outreach program.

All of this work is directed toward preparation and submission of the NEPA submission package, which includes the final Project Description, Alternatives Assessment, Mitigation Plan and Reclamation and Closure Plan.

Community Engagement

The Pebble Project technical programs are supported by stakeholder engagement activities in Alaska to advance and strengthen relationships with Alaska Native partners and broader stakeholder groups as well as people in political and regulatory offices of government (both in Alaska and Washington, D.C.).

Through our numerous stakeholder initiatives, the Company seeks to create a science-based project design more responsive to stakeholder concerns that bring benefits to local residents, businesses and Alaska Native village corporations, and energizes the economy of Southwest Alaska.

In 2017, the Pebble Partnership established the Pebble Project Advisory Committee, comprised of prominent Alaskan and national figures to provide independent, external advice on the Pebble Project as it advances into federal and state permitting.

1.2.1.3 Legal Matters

Joint Settlement Agreement

From 2014-2017, Northern Dynasty and the Pebble Partnership were focused on a multi-dimensional strategy that included legal and other initiatives designed to ward off a pre-emptive regulatory action by the EPA under the *Clean Water Act*. The strategy had a successful outcome.

On May 12, 2017, Northern Dynasty announced that the Pebble Partnership and the EPA had reached a joint settlement agreement over the federal agency's pre-emptive regulatory action. Key aspects of the agreement include:

• The EPA agreed the Pebble Project can proceed into normal course permitting under the CWA and NEPA, and that it cannot seek to utilize its authority under CWA 404(c) until such time as a final EIS has been completed by the US Army Corps of Engineers, so long as that occurs within a period of four years following the settlement agreement, and that the Pebble Partnership files permit applications within 30 months of the date of the settlement agreement.

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

- EPA further agreed to initiate a process to consider withdrawing the Proposed Determination it issued under CWA 404(c) in July 2014. The beginning of this process was announced on July 11, 2017, and a public comment period concluded on October 17, 2017. Final agency action is expected in 2018.
- The Pebble Partnership agreed to terminate permanently and with prejudice two lawsuits it brought against EPA: an action under the *Federal Advisory Committee Act* and an action under the *Freedom of Information Act*.

A copy of the joint settlement agreement is posted under the Company's SEDAR and EDGAR profiles at www.sedar.com and www.sec.gov, respectively.

The following is a chronology of the events and the actions and initiatives by Northern Dynasty and the Pebble Partnership that ultimately led to the joint settlement agreement.

Environmental Protection Agency and Bristol Bay Watershed Assessment

In February 2011, the EPA announced it would undertake a Bristol Bay Watershed Assessment study focusing on the potential effects of large-scale mine development in Bristol Bay and, specifically the Nushagak and Kvichak area drainages. This process was ostensibly initiated in response to calls from persons and groups opposing the Pebble Project for the EPA to pre-emptively use its asserted authority under Section 404(c) of the CWA to prohibit discharges of dredged or fill material in waters of the US within these drainages. However, evidence exists that the EPA may have been considering a Section 404(c) veto of the Pebble Project at least as far back as 2008 – two years before it received a petition from several Alaska Native tribes.

The EPA's first draft Bristol Bay Watershed Assessment ("BBWA") report was released on May 18, 2012. In the Company's opinion after review with its consultants, the draft report is a fundamentally flawed document. By the EPA's own admission, it evaluated the effects of a "hypothetical project" that has neither been defined nor proposed by the Pebble Partnership, and for which key environmental mitigation strategies have not yet been developed and, hence, would not yet be known. It is believed by the Company that the assessment was rushed – because it was based on studies conducted over only one year in an area of 20,000 square miles. In comparison, the Pebble Project has studied the ecological and social environment surrounding Pebble for over a decade. The EPA also failed to adequately consider the comprehensive and detailed data that the Pebble Partnership provided as part of its 27,000-page Environmental Baseline Document.

The EPA called for public comment on the quality and sufficiency of scientific information presented in the draft BBWA report. In response, the Pebble Partnership and Northern Dynasty made submissions on the draft report. Northern Dynasty made a presentation highlighting these shortcomings at public hearings held in Seattle, Washington, on May 31, 2012 and in Anchorage, Alaska, on August 7, 2012. In July 2012, the Company also submitted a 635-page critique of the draft report in response to the EPA's call for public comment, and called upon the EPA to cease such unwarranted actions until such time as a definitive proposal for the development of the Pebble deposit is submitted into the NEPA permitting process.

Concerns about the reasonableness of the basis of risk assessment in the draft EPA report were stated by many of the independent experts on the peer review panel assembled to review the BBWA, as summarized, in a report entitled *External Peer Review of EPA's Draft Document: An Assessment of Potential Mining Impacts on Salmon Ecosystems of Bristol Bay, Alaska* released in November 2012. In a wide-ranging critique of the draft report's methodology and findings, many peer review panellists called the EPA's effort to evaluate the effects of a "hypothetical mining scenario" on the water, fish, wildlife and cultural resources of Southwest Alaska "inadequate", "premature", "unreasonable", "suspect" and "misleading".

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

On April 26, 2013, the EPA released a revised draft of the BBWA report and announced another public comment and Peer Review period. The Pebble Partnership and Northern Dynasty made submissions on the revised draft. In late May 2013, Northern Dynasty filed a 205-page submission which describes the same major shortcomings as the original report published in May 2012.

In mid-January 2014, the EPA released the final version of its BBWA. The report still reflects many of the same fundamental shortcomings as previous drafts.

On February 28, 2014, the EPA announced the initiation of a pre-emptive regulatory action under Section 404(c) of the CWA to consider restriction or a prohibition on mining activities associated with the Pebble deposit in order to protect aquatic resources in southwest Alaska. In late April 2014, the Pebble Partnership submitted a comprehensive response to the EPA's February 28, 2014 notification letter.

Statutory Authority action

In late May 2014, the Pebble Partnership filed suit in the US District Court for Alaska and sought an injunction to halt the pre-emptive regulatory action initiated by the EPA under the CWA, asserting that, in the absence of a permit application, the action exceeds the federal agency's statutory authority and violates the Alaska Statehood Act among other federal laws. The State of Alaska and Alaska Peninsula Corporation, an Alaska Native village corporation with extensive land holdings in the Pebble Project area, later joined in the Pebble Partnership's lawsuit against the EPA as co-plaintiffs. On September 26, 2014, a US federal court in Alaska granted the EPA's motion to dismiss the case. This ruling did not judge the merits of the statutory authority case, it only deferred that hearing and judgment until after a final Section 404(c) determination has been made by the EPA. If or when the EPA action is deemed "final", the Pebble Partnership will pursue the underlying case. The Company also appealed the decision to grant the motion to dismiss to the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals. This appeal was denied in May 2015. The Pebble Partnership retained the option to pursue its statutory authority case in the instance that EPA finalized a pre-emptive regulatory action under the CWA.

Proposed Determination

On July 18, 2014, EPA Region 10 announced a "Proposed Determination" to restrict the discharge of dredged or fill material associated with mining the Pebble deposit in a 268 square mile area should that disposal result in any of the following: loss of five or more miles of streams with documented salmon occurrence; loss of 19 or more miles of streams where salmon are not documented but that are tributaries of streams with documented salmon occurrence; the loss of 1,100 or more acres of wetlands, lakes, and ponds that connect with streams with documented salmon occurrence or tributaries of those streams; and stream flow alterations greater than 20 percent of daily flow in nine or more linear miles of streams with documented salmon occurrence. Northern Dynasty management did not accept that the EPA has the statutory authority to impose conditions on development at Pebble, or any development project anywhere in Alaska or the US, prior to the formal submission of a development plan and its thorough review by federal and state agencies including development of an Environmental Impact Statement and review under NEPA.

On September 19, 2014, the Pebble Partnership submitted a comprehensive legal and technical response to EPA Region 10's Proposed Determination. Northern Dynasty and the Pebble Partnership maintained that the Proposed Determination is flawed and unsupported by the administrative record as established by the Bristol Bay Watershed Assessment, and was therefore arbitrary and capricious.

As part of the settlement agreement announced on May 12, 2017, EPA agreed to initiate a process to withdraw the Proposed Determination that would have restricted the development of the Pebble Project.

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

The beginning of this process was announced on July 11, 2017, and a public comment period concluded on October 17, 2017. Final agency action is expected in 2018.

Federal Advisory Committee Act ("FACA") action

On September 3, 2014, the Pebble Partnership initiated a second action against EPA in federal district court in Alaska charging that EPA violated the FACA due to the federal agency's close interactions with, and the undue influence of Environmental Non-Governmental Organizations and anti-mining activists in developing the BBWA, and with respect to its unprecedented pre-emptive regulatory action under the CWA. On September 24, 2014, the US federal court judge in Alaska released an order recognizing that the EPA agreed not to take the next step to advance its 404(c) regulatory action with respect to southwest Alaska's Pebble Project until at least January 2, 2015.

Preliminary Injunction

On November 24, 2014, a US federal court judge in Alaska granted the Pebble Partnership's request for a preliminary injunction in relation to the FACA case. While the preliminary injunction did not resolve the Pebble Partnership's claims that the EPA actions with respect to the BBWA and subsequent 404(c) regulatory action violated FACA, the decision permitted the further discovery process of the underlying facts to enable the court to issue a final decision on the merits of the FACA case. Granting of a preliminary injunction also reflected the court's view that PLP had a likelihood of prevailing on the merits of its case. On June 4, 2015, the federal court in Alaska issued an order denying the EPA's motion to dismiss this case.

The Pebble Partnership filed numerous requests for production of documents and received tens of thousands of documents produced by the EPA. The Pebble Partnership also served a number of notices of depositions for current and former EPA employees, EPA contractors and relevant third parties. More than a dozen depositions of EPA witnesses were completed. Additionally, the Pebble Partnership had asked the Court to compel the Agency and certain third parties to produce documents relevant to its FACA claims that are being improperly withheld. Had the Pebble Partnership prevailed in its FACA litigation against the EPA, the federal agency may have been unable to rely upon the BBWA as part of the administrative record for any regulatory action at the Pebble Project.

On October 27, 2016, the Pebble Partnership and the EPA filed a joint Notice in federal court stating their intent to enter into mediation in an effort to resolve ongoing litigation under FACA.

The Pebble Partnership and the EPA filed several joint Notices in federal court to stay the ongoing FACA litigation between December 30, 2016 and May 11, 2017, although the Court's November 2014 Preliminary Injunction remained in effect. During this period, the Pebble Partnership and the EPA engaged in direct discussions, which led to the joint settlement agreement announced on May 12, 2017.

Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") action

On October 14, 2014, the Pebble Partnership filed suit in federal district court in Alaska charging that EPA has violated the FOIA by improperly withholding documents related to the Pebble Project, the Bristol Bay Watershed Assessment and consideration of a pre-emptive 404(c) veto under the CWA. The EPA moved for summary judgment claiming that its search for and disclosure of documents was adequate. The Pebble Partnership opposed the government's motion, pointing out several deficiencies in the EPA's search parameters and the agency's overly broad assertion of the deliberative process privilege to withhold documents. On August 24, 2015, the US federal court judge granted in part and deferred in part the EPA's motion for summary judgement on the FOIA litigation. The court accepted the EPA's position that it had made an adequate search for documents but left the matter open should the EPA not meet its obligations in the FACA litigation or if additional documents surface. Additionally, the judge ordered EPA to produce

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

a sample of 183 partially or fully withheld documents so that it could conduct an in-camera review of the sample and test the merits of EPA's withholdings under the deliberative process privilege. Before producing this sample to the Court, EPA chose to voluntarily release 115 documents (or 63% of the sample ordered by the Court), relinquishing its claim of privilege as to these documents.

In briefings before the Court, the Pebble Partnership argued that the voluntary release of 63% of the agency's same documents conclusively demonstrated that the EPA had been over broad in its assertion of the deliberative process privilege, particularly because the content of the voluntarily released documents was not in fact deliberative. The Court agreed, finding that EPA "improperly withheld documents in full," and that "many of the documents that defendant released should have been released to begin with because the portions that defendant released were not deliberative." It then ordered the EPA to review an additional 65 documents. Of these 65 documents, the EPA voluntarily released 55 documents in whole or in part (or 85% of the documents). Given the EPA's high rate of release, the Pebble Partnership submitted a brief to the Court arguing that the EPA should be forced to review the remaining documents being withheld and arguing that judgment should not be granted to the agency at this time. The Court agreed, concluding that it had "no confidence that [EPA] has properly withheld documents, either in full or in part, pursuant to the deliberative process privilege." The Court reiterated its earlier finding that EPA had been withholding documents that "should never have been withheld to begin with." As a result, the Court ordered the Agency to re-evaluate all remaining documents EPA is withholding in response to the Pebble Partnership's January 2014 FOIA request and to submit these documents for in-camera review. After this review, the Court issued an order resolving Pebble's challenges to the remaining withholdings and forcing EPA, yet again, to produce additional documents that the agency had been improperly withholding for over two years.

Office of the EPA Inspector General ("OIG") review

Counsel for Northern Dynasty and the Pebble Partnership submitted numerous letters to the independent OIG since January 2014, raising concerns of apprehension of bias, process irregularities and undue influence by environmental organizations in the EPA's preparation of the Bristol Bay Watershed Assessment. In response to Congressional and other requests, on May 2, 2014, the OIG announced that it would investigate the EPA's conduct in preparing *An Assessment of Potential Mining Impacts on Salmon Ecosystems of Bristol Bay, Alaska*, "to determine whether the EPA adhered to laws, regulations, policies and procedures in developing its assessment of potential mining impacts in Bristol Bay, Alaska." On January 13, 2016, the OIG published its report (the "OIG Report"). While acknowledging significant "scope limitations" in its review and subsequent OIG Report, the OIG concluded that: "we found no evidence of bias in how the EPA conducted its assessment of the Bristol Bay watershed, or that the EPA predetermined the assessment outcome," but that an EPA Region 10 employee may have been guilty of "a possible misuse of position."

Several other investigations of EPA conduct at Pebble contradict the OIG Report. The US Congress' House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform found "that EPA employees had inappropriate contact with outside groups and failed to conduct an impartial, fact-based review of the proposed Pebble mine." In addition, a report by former United States Senator and Defense Secretary William S. Cohen and his firm (further described below), said their investigation "raise(s) serious concerns as to whether EPA orchestrated the process to reach a pre-determined outcome; had inappropriately close relationships with anti-mine advocates; and was candid about its decision-making process."

The findings of the OIG Report did not materially affect the Pebble Partnership's strategy for addressing the EPA's CWA Section 404(c) regulatory action. The Company remained confident that the Pebble Project would ultimately enter federal and state permitting unencumbered by any extraordinary development restrictions, a belief borne out by the joint settlement agreement reached with EPA in May 2017.

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

Cohen report

In March 2015, William Cohen and his firm, The Cohen Group, assisted by the law firm DLA Piper, was retained by the Pebble Partnership to conduct an independent review of whether the EPA acted fairly in connection with its evaluation of potential mining in the Bristol Bay watershed. Secretary Cohen was requested to evaluate the fairness of EPA's actions and decisions in this matter based upon a thorough assessment of the facts and relying on his experience as a senior government official, as well as his 24 years as a member of the US Senate and House of Representatives.

A team of independent investigators employed by The Cohen Group and DLA Piper reviewed thousands of documents secured through FOIA requests and interviewed approximately 60 individuals involved with the EPA or its review of the Pebble Project. On October 6, 2015, Mr. Cohen released his report entitled Report of an Independent Review of the United States Environmental Protection Agency's Actions in Connection with its Evaluation of Potential Mining in Alaska's Bristol Bay Watershed. The report stated the conclusion of Mr. Cohen that he did not believe the EPA used the "fairest and most appropriate process" in its proposed pre-emptive regulatory action under the CWA.

Mr. Cohen urged policymakers to require that the permitting process under NEPA and the regulations developed by the Council on Environmental Quality (the "Permit/NEPA Process") be followed. Mr. Cohen commented that the Permit/NEPA Process is more comprehensive than the pre-emptive Section 404(c) action employed by the EPA and he could find no valid reason why that process was not used.

The Cohen report also raised a number of concerns about the EPA's Bristol Bay Watershed Assessment study and the CWA Section 404(c) regulatory action, including possible prejudice and pre-determination of outcomes by the EPA, inappropriately close relationships between certain EPA officials and anti-mine advocates, EPA's candor with respect to certain actions it took, lack of consistency between the BBWA and the proposed determination, and lack of cooperation by EPA personnel with respect to Congressional queries and FOIA requests.

Northern Dynasty does not consider the Cohen report to constitute an "expert's" report but rather considers it to constitute an informed view of the Company's treatment by the EPA expressed by a person familiar with governmental due process goals. Mr. Cohen also appeared before a Congressional committee (House Committee on Science, Space and Technology) with respect to the findings in his report.

US House Committee on Science, Space and Technology

On February 22, 2017, the US House Committee on Science, Space and Technology Chairman, Lamar Smith, sent a letter to the EPA Administrator Scott Pruit recommending that "the incoming Administration rescind the EPA's proposed determination to use Section 404(c) in a pre-emptive fashion for the Pebble Mine in Bristol Bay, Alaska. This simple action would allow a return to the long-established CWA permitting process and stop attempts by the EPA to improperly expand its authority. Moreover, it would create regulatory certainty for future development projects that will create jobs and contribute to the American economy."

Northern Dynasty and the Pebble Partnership have been represented by respected international law firm Steptoe & Johnson LLP ("Steptoe"). Effective from August 1, 2016, Steptoe and the Pebble Partnership agreed to cap legal fees paid related to the FACA suit and other ongoing legal matters to the point at which motions for summary judgment in the case have been fully argued to the court and are ripe for adjudication. With the joint settlement agreement, Steptoe and a former Steptoe lawyer whose services the Pebble Partnership continued to use are due a success fee payment. The Company has included the amount payable in the Interim Financial Statements (see 1.6 Liquidity for further details).

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

Other Matters

On February 14, 2017, short seller investment firm Kerrisdale Capital Management LLC published a negative piece (the "Kerrisdale Report") regarding the Pebble Project. Three putative shareholder class actions were filed against the Company and certain of its current officers and directors in US federal courts, specifically the Central District of California (Los Angeles) and the Southern District of New York (New York City). The cases are captioned: Diaz v. Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd. et al, Case No. 17-cv-01241 (C.D. Cal.); Kirwin v. Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd. et al, Case No. 17-cv-01238 (S.D.N.Y.); and Schubert v. Northern Dynasty Minerals, Ltd., et al., Case No. 1:17-CV-02437 (S.D.N.Y.). The complaints appear to rely on the claims made in the Kerrisdale Report and allege damages to a class of investors who purchased shares of the Company prior to the publication of the Kerrisdale Report and allege liability for losses pursuant to Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act of 1934 and SEC Rule 10b-5 thereunder, as well as control person liability against the individual defendants pursuant to Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act.

The plaintiffs in both the *Kirwin* and *Schubert* actions voluntarily dismissed their claims without prejudice. The plaintiffs in the *Diaz* action continue to litigate and recently filed an amended complaint. The Company will be filing a motion to dismiss it with the court in the coming months. The Company believes that the allegations in the remaining complaint are without merit, and it intends to defend itself vigorously in the *Diaz* action.

For further information, refer to the Company's 2016 Annual Information Form which is filed under the Company's profile on www.sedar.com.

1.2.2 Financing

US\$37.4 Million Bought Deal

In January 2017, the Company completed a bought deal offering of 20,240,000 common shares at a price of US\$1.85 per unit for gross proceeds of approximately US\$37.4 million. The offering was made through a syndicate of underwriters co-led by Cantor Fitzgerald Canada Corporation, TD Securities Inc. and BMO Capital Markets.

Part of the net proceeds were used to advance the Company's Multi-dimensional Strategy to address the pre-emptive regulatory action of the EPA under Section 404 (c) of the CWA and the balance will be used for (i) preparations to initiate federal and state permitting under NEPA; (ii) environmental monitoring, engineering and environmental studies, field investigations and related technical studies to finalize a proposed development plan for the Pebble Project; (iii) enhanced outreach and engagement with political and regulatory offices in the Alaska state and U.S. federal government and among Alaska Native partners and broader regional and state-wide stakeholder groups; (iv) Alaskan corporate, tenure and site maintenance; (v) general corporate purposes; and (vi) working capital requirements.

1.2.3 Market Trends

Copper prices were variable in 2013, 2014 and 2015, and the average annual price decreased each year. Prices were variable to improving for most of 2016 and early 2017. A sustained uptrend began in May 2017. The recent closing price is US\$3.08/lb.

In 2013, an uptrend in gold prices that had extended over several years came to an end. Prices were variable from 2013 to 2015, and the average annual prices decreased. Gold prices trended upward for

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

most of 2016, with a drop late in the year. Prices have shown some variability, but trended upward in 2017. The recent closing price is US\$1,285/oz.

Molybdenum prices weakened in 2012 and 2013. Prices increased from January to August 2014, then began a downtrend that continued to the end of 2015. Prices improved in the first half of 2016, then dropped slightly and, largely, have been flat since that time, with a recent price of US\$7.26/lb.

Silver prices were buoyant, ranging between \$26/oz and \$35/oz between October 2011 and the end of 2012, then trended downward in 2013. Prices were variable in 2014 and 2015, with the average annual prices decreasing in both years. Prices were variable to increasing during most of 2016, but dropped late in the year. In 2017, silver prices have been variable, tracking gold for the first four months, dropping in May and becoming variable since that time, with a recent price of US\$17.10/oz.

Average annual prices of copper, gold, molybdenum and silver for the past four years as well as the average prices so far in 2017 are shown in the table below:

	Average metal price ¹							
Year	Copper US\$/lb	Gold US\$/oz	Molybdenum US\$/lb	Silver US\$/oz				
2013	3.32	1,410	10.40	23.80				
2014	3.14	1,276	11.91	19.08				
2015	2.49	1,160	6.73	15.68				
2016	2.21	1,251	6.56	17.14				
2017 (to the date of this MD&A)	2.76	1,255	7.26	17.13				

1. Source: LME Official Cash Price as provided at www.metalprices.com

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

1.3 Selected Annual Information

Not required for an interim MD&A.

1.4 Summary and Discussion of Quarterly Results

All monetary amounts are expressed in thousands of dollars except per share amounts and where otherwise indicated. Minor differences are due to rounding.

Excerpts from Statements of Comprehensive Loss (Income)	Sep 30 2017	Jun 30 2017	Mar 31 2017	Dec 31 2016	Sep 30 2016	Jun 30 2016	Mar 31 2016	Dec 31 2015
Expenses								
Exploration and evaluation	\$ 9,807	\$ 3,929	\$ 1,780	\$ 2,610	\$ 2,006	\$ 1,294	\$ 2,025	\$ 3,374
General and administrative	1,980	2,757	2,548	1,311	1,444	1,844	2,130	1,813
Legal, accounting and audit ¹	1,475	22,227	1,305	984	1,286	3,318	3,854	6,379
Share-based compensation	3,474	558	507	714	1,939	186	156	469
Other items ²	1,152	712	(729)	(95)	(68)	(54)	98	373
	\$							
Loss for the quarter	17,888	\$ 30,183	\$ 5,411	\$ 5,524	\$ 6,607	\$ 6,588	\$ 8,263	\$ 12,408
Basic and diluted loss per								
common share	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.07
Weighted average number								
of common shares (000s)	303,962	301,220	290,650	266,767	264,622	230,920	222,106	181,339

- Primarily legal costs incurred by the Group in response to the EPA's activities surrounding the Pebble Project and from Q1 2017, response to the Kerrisdale Report and securities class actions (discussed in "Other Matters" under 1.2.1.3 Legal Matters). In Q2, 2017, following the settlement with the EPA, additional legal fees became payable (see discussion below).
- 2. Other items include interest income and expense, exchange gain or loss, gain or loss on disposal of financial assets and plant and equipment and deferred income tax recovery.

Discussion of Quarterly Trends

Exploration and evaluation expenses ("E&E") increased in Q2 and Q3 of 2017 as the Company initiated a program of engineering and environmental studies, field investigations and related technical studies with the objective of finalizing a proposed development plan and preparing documentation for federal and state permitting. In Q3 2017, the Company recorded the annual claim rental fees payable as compared to the prior years where those fees were recorded in the last quarter of the year. Prior to Q2 2017, E&E trended down other than in the last quarter of each year when the Company paid its annual claim rental fees. E&E includes costs for Native community engagement, select environmental monitoring programs, annual fees for claims (paid in Q4 each year), site leases, land access agreements and technical studies undertaken.

General and administrative expenses ("G&A") have fluctuated based on the level of corporate and financing activities undertaken and have trended down since Q1 2016 but have increased in 2017. In Q1 and Q2 2017, G&A included the costs of discretionary bonuses paid relating to the 2015 and 2016 fiscal periods respectively as well as a performance bonus relating to the completion of the bought deal financing. In Q3 2017, the Group paid a short-term incentive bonus/compensation to its Board's Chair, CEO and CFO which was settled 20% in cash and 80% in RSUs.

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

Legal, accounting and audit expenses increased significantly in Q2 2017 as the Company recorded additional legal fees payable of US\$15.8 million following the settlement agreement with the EPA (refer Section 1.2.1.3, *Joint Settlement Agreement*). In Q1, Q2 and Q3 2017, the Company also incurred approximately US\$946,000 in legal costs relating to the Company's response to the Kerrisdale Report including securities class actions.

Share-based compensation expense ("SBC") has fluctuated due to the timing and quantum of share purchase option ("option") grants and the vesting periods associated with these grants. Grants of options occurred in Q3 2017 (5,808,000 options), Q3 2016 (6,206,000 options), Q1 2016 (600,000 options) and Q4 2015 (3,657,500 options). Grants of deferred share units ("DSUs") and restricted share units ("RSUs") also impacted SBC. There were grants in Q3 2017 of 542,371 RSUs and in Q3 2016 of 639,031 RSUs and 458,129 DSUs.

1.5 Results of Operations

The following financial data has been prepared from the Financial Statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, and is expressed in thousands of Canadian dollars unless otherwise stated.

The Company's operations and business are not driven by seasonal trends, but rather are driven towards the achievement of project milestones relating to the Pebble Project such as the achievement of various technical, environmental, socio-economic and legal objectives, including obtaining the necessary permits, the completion of pre-feasibility and final feasibility studies, preparation of engineering designs, as well as receipt of financings to fund these objectives along with mine construction.

1.5.1 Results of Operations - Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017 versus 2016

For the three months, the Company recorded an increase in loss of \$11.3 million due primarily to the increase in E&E of \$7.8 million, the increase in SBC of \$1.5 million and an increase in foreign exchange losses of \$1.3 million.

For the nine months, the Company recorded an increase in loss of \$32.0 million due primarily to the increases in legal, accounting and audit expenses (\$16.5 million), E&E (\$10.2 million), SBC (\$2.2 million) and G&A (\$2.0 million).

The breakdown of E&E as compared to 2016, expressed in thousands of dollars, is as follows:

E&E	Three months				Nine months			
		2017		2016		2017		2016
Engineering	\$	1,856	\$	184	\$	2,340	\$	266
Environmental		1,722		160		2,370		538
Property fees		1,331		_		1,338		_
Site activities		1,949		319		3,225		971
Socio-economic		1,604		1,244		4,734		3,388
Transportation		1,161		38		1,240		99
Other activities and travel		184		61		269		63
Total	\$	9,807	\$	2,006	\$	15,516	\$	5,325

In the current quarter, E&E increased by \$7.8 million as the company continued its field program at site and engineering and environmental programs (see 1.2.1.2 *Technical Programs*). Transportation costs

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

increased as the Company used helicopter charters for the field program. Property fees increased as a result of the Company recording the annual claims rentals. In 2016, the claim rentals were recorded in the fourth quarter. In addition, additional costs were incurred relating to the ongoing Alaska Native community engagement and stakeholder outreach programs (see 1.2.1.2, Community Engagement).

In the year to date, E&E was impacted by the increased activities as result of field program and engineering and environmental programs (1.2.1.2 *Technical Programs*), the recording of annual claims rentals and by the ongoing Alaska Native community engagement, stakeholder outreach programs.

The following table provides a breakdown of G&A, and legal, accounting and audit expenses incurred as compared to 2016, expressed in thousands of dollars:

	Three months			Nine months			
		2017		2016	2017		2016
Conference and travel	\$	61	\$	131	\$ 307	\$	291
Consulting		229		59	532		370
Insurance		113		105	315		316
Office costs, including information technology		238		143	670		652
Management and administration		1,108		859	4,360		3,126
Shareholder communication		223		142	845		497
Trust and filing		8		5	256		166
Total G&A		1,980		1,444	7,285		5,418
Legal, accounting and audit		1,475		1,286	25,007		8,458
·	\$	3,455	\$	2,730	\$ 32,292	\$	13,876

G&A increased by \$0.5 million in the current quarter as compared to the prior year quarter due primarily to an increase in consulting fees incurred and an increase in management and administration costs as the Company paid a 2017 bonus/compensation to its board's Chair, CEO and CFO which was settled 20% in cash and 80% in RSUs.

In the year to date, G&A increased by \$1.9 million due primarily to the increase management and administration costs and shareholder communication costs. Management and administration included payments for discretionary bonuses in respect to the 2015 and 2016 fiscal years to the PLP CEO and a 2017 bonus/compensation to the Company's board Chair, CEO and CFO. Shareholder communication increased due to higher costs for the annual general meeting held in June 2017 and additional costs for updating the Company's website. Legal, accounting and audit costs increased by \$16.5 million as the Company recognized additional legal fees owing to legal counsel due to the joint settlement agreement reached by the Pebble Partnership and the EPA (see 1.6 Liquidity and 1.2.1.3 Joint Settlement Agreement).

Other

SBC has fluctuated due to the timing and quantum of option grants, RSUs and DSUs and the vesting periods associated with these grants. In both 2017 and 2016, the Company issued grants of options and DSUs/RSUs in the third quarter (2017 - 5,808,000 options and 542,371 RSUs; 2016 - 6,206,000 options, 458,129 DSUs and 639,031 RSUs). In Q1 2016, the Company also issued 600,000 options.

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

1.5.2 Financial position as at September 30, 2017 versus December 31, 2016

The total assets of the Company increased by \$21.0 million due largely to the increase in cash and cash equivalents and held-to-maturity investments as a result of the completion of the bought deal financing and proceeds from the exercise of options and warrants during the period to date.

1.6 Liquidity

The Company's major sources of funding has been the issuance of equity securities for cash, primarily through private placements and prospectus offerings to sophisticated investors and institutions, and the issue of common shares pursuant to the exercise of options and warrants. The Company's access to financing is always uncertain. There can be no assurance of continued access to significant equity funding.

As at September 30, 2017, the Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$30.9 million which is represents an increase of \$23.8 million from December 31, 2016 as the Company completed a bought deal financing (refer 1.2.2 Financing) and received \$8.9 million from the exercise of options and warrants. The Company employed \$21.9 million in its operating activities in the nine months ended September 30, 2017, and invested \$6.8 million of available funds in guaranteed investment certificates, which became available to the Company in November 2017. The Company has prioritized the allocation of its available financial resources in order to meet key corporate and Pebble Project expenditure requirements in the near term (refer to the Company's 2017 work programs in section 1.2.1.2 Technical Programs) and have available financial resources to carry forward into the first quarter of 2018. Additional financing will be required to pursue any material expenditures at the Pebble Project in 2018. There can be no assurances that the Company will be successful in obtaining additional financing at that point. If the Company is unable to raise the necessary capital resources to meet obligations as they come due, the Company will have to reduce or curtail its operations at some point.

At September 30 2017, the Company had working capital of \$25.4 million as compared to \$6.4 million at December 31, 2016. The Company has no capital lease obligations, operating leases or any other long-term obligations other than those disclosed below:

The following commitments and payables (expressed in thousands) existed at September 30, 2017:

	 Payments due by period as of the reporting date						g date
	 Total		≤ 1 year		1-5 years	> 5 years	
Trade and other payables	\$ 13,181	\$	13,181	\$	_	\$	_
Payables to related parties	538		538		_		_
Lease commitments	1,437		433		963		41
Long-term payables ¹	13,192		_		13,192		
Total	\$ 28,348	\$	14,152	\$	14,155	\$	41

Note

1. As a result of the joint settlement agreement announced on May 12, 2017, the Company's legal counsel, Steptoe and a former Steptoe lawyer are due additional legal fees ("success fees") of US\$16.6 million of which US\$5.4 million is payable in December 2017. The remaining amount is payable as follows: US\$0.6 million in the first quarter of 2018 and two equal payments of US\$5.3 million in December 2018 and 2019 respectively. The latter two payments are included in long-term payables and the amount payable this year and first quarter of 2018 of US\$6.0 million in current trade payables. Prior to the settlement, the Company had disclosed the estimated success fees payable as a contingent liability.

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

The Company has no "Purchase Obligations", defined as any agreement to purchase goods or services that is enforceable and legally binding on the Company that specifies all significant terms, including fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction. The Company is responsible for maintenance payments on the Pebble Project claims and routine site and office leases (included in table above).

1.7 Capital Resources

The Company's capital resources consist of its cash reserves, which include its cash and equivalents and held-to-maturity investments. As at September 30, 2017, other than noted in <u>1.6 Liquidity</u>, the Company has no other long-term debt and no commitments for material capital expenditures.

The Company has no lines of credit or other sources of financing.

1.8 Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As at September 30, 2017, the Company had no off-balance sheet arrangements.

1.9 Transactions with Related Parties

Transactions with Hunter Dickinson Services Inc. ("HDSI")

Hunter Dickinson Inc. ("HDI") and its wholly owned subsidiary, HDSI are private companies established by a group of mining professionals engaged in advancing and developing mineral properties for a number of private and publicly-listed exploration companies, one of which is the Company.

Current directors of the Company namely Robert Dickinson and Ron Thiessen are active members of the HDI Board of Directors. Marchand Snyman, the Company's CFO, is also an active member of the HDI Board of Directors. Other key management personnel of the Company – Doug Allen, Stephen Hodgson, Bruce Jenkins, Sean Magee and Trevor Thomas – are active members of HDI's senior management team.

The business purpose of the related party relationship

HDSI provides technical, geological, corporate communications, regulatory compliance, administrative and management services to the Company, on an as-needed and as-requested basis from the Company.

HDSI also incurs third party costs on behalf of the Company. Such third party costs include, for example, directors and officers insurance, travel, conferences, and technology services.

As a result of this relationship with HDSI, the Company has ready access to a range of diverse and specialized expertise on a regular basis, without having to engage or hire full-time experts. The Company benefits from the economies of scale created by HDSI.

The measurement basis used

The Company procures services from HDSI pursuant to an agreement (the "Services Agreement") dated July 2, 2010 whereby HDSI agreed to provide technical, geological, corporate communications, administrative and management services to the Company. A copy of the Services Agreement is publicly available under the Company's profile at www.sedar.com.

Services from HDSI are provided on a non-exclusive basis as required and as requested by the Company. The Company is not obligated to acquire any minimum amount of services from HDSI. The fees for services is determined based on an agreed upon charge-out rate for each employee performing the service

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

and the time spent by the employee. The charge-out rate also includes overhead costs such as office rent, information technology services and administrative support. Such charge-out rates are agreed and set annually in advance.

Third party expenses are billed at cost, without any markup.

Ongoing contractual or other commitments resulting from the related party relationship

There are no ongoing contractual or other commitments resulting from the Company's transactions with HDSI, other than the payment for services already rendered and billed. The agreement may be terminated upon 60 days' notice from either the Company or HDSI.

Transactions during the Reporting Period and Balances with HDSI at the end of the Reporting Period

Disclosure as to transactions with HDSI and any amounts due to or from HDSI is provided in Note 8(b) in the notes to the Interim Financial Statements which accompany this MD&A and which are available under the Company's profile at www.sedar.com.

Key Management Personnel

The required disclosure for the remuneration of the Company's key management personnel is provided in Note 8(a) in the notes to the Interim Financial Statements which accompany this MD&A and which are available under the Company's profile at www.sedar.com.

1.10 Fourth Quarter

Not applicable.

1.11 Proposed Transactions

There are no proposed asset or business acquisitions or dispositions, other than those in the ordinary course, before the Board of Directors for consideration.

1.12 Critical Accounting Estimates

The required disclosure is provided in Note 2 in the notes to the Interim Financial Statements which accompany this MD&A and which are available under the Company's profile at www.sedar.com.

1.13 Changes in Accounting Policies including Initial Adoption

The required disclosure is provided in Note 2 in the notes to the Interim Financial Statements which accompany this MD&A and which are available under the Company's profile at www.sedar.com.

1.14 Financial Instruments and Other Instruments

The Company is exposed in varying degrees to a variety of financial instrument related risks. The Board approves and monitors the risk management processes, inclusive of documented investment policies, counterparty limits, and controlling and reporting structures. The type of risk exposure and the way in which such exposure is managed is provided as follows:

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk of potential loss to the Company if a counterparty to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations. The Company's credit risk is primarily attributable to its liquid financial assets, including cash and cash equivalents, saving accounts, guaranteed investment certificates and amounts receivable. The Company limits the exposure to credit risk by only investing its cash and cash equivalents with high-credit quality financial institutions in business and saving accounts, guaranteed investment certificates, and in government treasury bills, which are available on demand by the Group as and when required or mature in timeframes appropriate to the needs of the Company. There has been no change in the Company's objectives and policies for managing this risk except for changes in the carrying amounts of financial assets exposed to credit risk, and there was no significant change to the Company's exposure to credit risk during the period ended September 30, 2017. Amounts receivable include receivable balances with government agencies, prepaid expenses and refundable deposits. Management has also concluded that there is no objective evidence of impairment to the Company's amounts receivable.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not be able to meet its financial obligations when they become due. There has been no change in the Company's objectives and policies for managing this risk. The Company's liquidity position is discussed further in Section 1.6 *Liquidity*.

Foreign Exchange Risk

The Company is subject to both currency transaction risk and currency translation risk: the Pebble Partnership, Pebble Services Inc. and U5 Resources Inc. have the US dollar as functional currency; and certain of the Company's corporate expenses are incurred in US dollars. The fluctuation of the US dollar in relation to the Canadian dollar has an impact upon the losses incurred by the Company as well as the value of the Company's assets and total shareholders' equity as the Company's functional and presentation currency is the Canadian dollar. The Company has not entered into any agreements or purchased any instruments to hedge possible currency risks at this time.

There has been no change in the Company's objectives and policies for managing this risk, except for the changes in the carrying amounts of the financial assets exposed to foreign exchange risk. During the nine months to September 30, 2017, the Company completed a bought deal financing in US dollars (refer 1.2.2 *Financing*) and has recognized additional legal fees payable in US dollars and as a result the Company's exposure to foreign exchange risk, expressed in thousands, has increased as follows:

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

	Sep	tember 30	Dec	cember 31
US dollar denominated financial assets and liabilities		2017		2016
Financial assets:				_
Held-to-maturity investments	\$	5,586	\$	_
Amounts receivable		207		326
Restricted Cash		748		_
Cash and cash equivalents		25,018		2,232
		31,559		2,558
Financial liabilities:				
Long term payables		(13,192)		_
Payables to related parties		_		_
Trade and other payables		(13,129)		(652)
		(26,321)		(652)
Net financial assets exposed to foreign currency risk	\$	5,238	\$	1,906

Based on the above net exposures and assuming that all other variables remain constant, a 10% change in the value of the Canadian dollar relative to the US dollar would result in a gain or loss, expressed in thousands, of \$524 (December 31, 2016 – \$191) in the period reported. This sensitivity analysis includes only outstanding foreign currency denominated monetary items.

Interest rate risk

The Company is subject to interest rate risk with respect to its investments in cash and cash equivalents. There has been no change in the Company's objectives and policies for managing this risk and no significant change to the Company's exposure to interest rate risk during the period ended September 30, 2017.

Commodity price risk

While the value of the Company's Pebble Project is related to the price of copper, gold, molybdenum and silver and the outlook for these minerals, the Company currently does not have any operating mines and hence does not have any hedging or other commodity based risks in respect of its operational activities.

Copper, gold, molybdenum and silver prices have fluctuated widely historically and are affected by numerous factors outside of the Company's control, including, but not limited to, industrial and retail demand, central bank lending, forward sales by producers and speculators, levels of worldwide production, short-term changes in supply and demand because of speculative hedging activities, and certain other factors related specifically to gold.

Capital Management

The Company's policy is to maintain a strong capital base so as to maintain investor and creditor confidence and to sustain future development of the business. The capital structure of the Company currently consists of equity, comprising share capital and reserves, net of accumulated deficit.

There were no changes in the Company's approach to capital management during the period. The Company is not subject to any externally imposed capital requirements.

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

1.15 Other MD&A Requirements

Additional information relating to the Company, including the Company's 2016 Annual Information Form, is available under the Company's profile on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

1.15.1 Disclosure of Outstanding Share Data

The capital structure of the Company as of November 8, 2017, is shown in the following table:

	Number
Common shares issued and outstanding	304,671,559
Share options pursuant to the Company's incentive plan	19,867,431
Deferred share units	458,129
Restricted share units	506,495
Warrants and non-incentive plan options ¹	38,837,856

Note to table:

1. Non-incentive plan options were issued on the acquisition of Cannon Point in October 2015. Warrants were issued pursuant to the acquisition of Mission Gold in December 2015, the prospectus financing in June 2016 and the private placement in July 2016.

1.15.2 Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company has disclosure controls and procedures in place to provide reasonable assurance that any information required to be disclosed by the Company under securities legislation is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the applicable time periods and that required information is gathered and communicated to the Company's management so that decisions can be made about timely disclosure of that information.

1.15.3 Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The Company's management, including the CEO and the CFO, is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting ("ICFR") is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by the Company's Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of consolidated financial statements for external purposes in accordance with IFRS. The Company's ICFR includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation
 of financial statements in accordance with IFRS, and that receipts and expenditures of the
 Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of
 the company; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

1.15.4 Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in the Company's ICFR during the three months ended September 30, 2017, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's ICFR.

1.15.5 Limitations of Controls and Procedures

The Company's management, including its CEO and CFO, believe that any system of disclosure controls and procedures or ICFR, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Furthermore, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, they cannot provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been prevented or detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by unauthorized override of controls. The design of any system of controls is also based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Accordingly, because of the inherent limitations in a cost effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

1.15.6 Risk Factors

The securities of Northern Dynasty are highly speculative and subject to a number of risks. A prospective investor or other person reviewing Northern Dynasty for a prospective investor should not consider an investment in Northern Dynasty unless the investor is capable of sustaining an economic loss of their entire investment. The risks associated with Northern Dynasty's business include:

Inability to Ultimately Achieve Mine Permitting and Build a Mine at the Pebble Project.

The Company may ultimately be unable to secure the necessary permits under United States Federal and Alaskan State laws to build and operate a mine at the Pebble Project. There is no assurance that the EPA will not seek to undertake future regulatory action to impede or restrict the Pebble Project. In addition, there are prominent and well organized opponents of the Pebble Project and the Company may be unable, even if we present solid scientific and technical evidence of risk mitigation, to overcome such opposition and convince governmental authorities that a mine should be permitted at the Pebble Project. The Company faces not only the permitting and regulatory issues typical of companies seeking to build a mine, but additional public and regulatory scrutiny due to its location and likely size. Accordingly, there is no assurance that the Company will obtain the required permits. In the ordinary course, the Company's permitting process will involve filing CWA 404 permit applications with the US Army Corps of Engineers, which will trigger an EIS process under NEPA. The EIS process under NEPA, and the requirement for the Company to secure a broad range of other permits and authorizations from multiple federal and state regulatory agencies will take several years. After all permits necessary to begin construction are in hand, a number of years would be required to finance and build a mine and commence operations. During these periods, the Company would likely have no income and so would require additional financing to continue its operations. Unless and until we build a mine at the Pebble Project we will be unable to achieve revenues from operations and may not be able to sell or otherwise recover our investment in the Pebble Project, which would have a material adverse effect on the Company and an investment in the Company's common shares.

Negative Operating Cash Flow

The Company currently has a negative operating cash flow and will continue to have that for the foreseeable future. Accordingly, the Company will require substantial additional capital in order to fund its future exploration and development activities. The Company does not have any arrangements in place for this funding and there is no assurance that such funding will be achieved when required. Any failure to obtain additional financing or failure to achieve profitability and positive operating cash flows will have a material adverse effect on its financial condition and results of operations.

Risk of Secure Title or Property Interest

There can be no certainty that title to any property interest acquired by the Company or any of its subsidiaries is without defects. Although the Company has taken reasonable precautions to ensure that legal title to its properties is properly documented, there can be no assurance that its property interests may not be challenged or impugned. Such property interests may be subject to prior unregistered agreements or transfers or other land claims, and title may be affected by undetected defects and adverse laws and regulations.

The Pebble Partnership's mineral concessions at Pebble are located on State of Alaska lands specifically designated for mineral exploration and development. Alaska is a stable jurisdiction with a well-developed regulatory and legal framework for resource development and public lands management, a strong commitment to the rule of law and lengthy track record for encouraging investment in the development if its land and natural resources.

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

The Pebble Project is Subject to Political and Environmental Regulatory Opposition

As is typical for a large scale mining project, the Pebble Project faces organized opposition from certain individuals and organizations who are motivated to preclude any possible mining in the Bristol Bay Watershed (the "BBW"). The BBW is an important wildlife and salmon habitat area. Accordingly, one of the greatest risks to the Pebble Project is seen to be political/permitting risk which may ultimately preclude construction of a mine at the Pebble Project. Opposition may include legal challenges to exploration and development permits, which may delay or halt development. Other tactics may also be employed by opposition groups to delay or frustrate development at Pebble, included political and public advocacy, electoral strategies, media and public outreach campaigns and protest activity.

The Pebble Partnership's Mineral Property Interests Do Not Contain Any Ore Reserves or Any Known Body of Economic Mineralization

Although there are known bodies of mineralization on the Pebble Project, and the Pebble Partnership has completed core drilling programs within, and adjacent to, the deposits to determine measured and indicated resources, there are currently no known reserves or body of commercially viable ore and the Pebble Project must be considered an exploration and feasibility evaluation project only. Extensive additional work is required before Northern Dynasty or the Pebble Partnership can ascertain if any mineralization may be economic and hence constitute "ore".

Mineral Resources Disclosed by Northern Dynasty or the Pebble Partnership for the Pebble Project are Estimates Only

Northern Dynasty has included mineral resource estimates that have been made in accordance with NI 43-101. These resource estimates are classified as "measured resources", "indicated resources" and "inferred resources". Northern Dynasty advises investors that while these terms are mandated by Canadian securities administrators, the SEC does not recognize these terms. Investors are cautioned not to assume that any part or all of mineral deposits classified as "measured resources" or "indicated resources" will ever be converted into ore reserves. Further, "inferred resources" have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence, and economic and legal feasibility. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of an inferred mineral resource will ever be upgraded to a higher category. Under Canadian rules, estimates of inferred mineral resources may not form the basis of feasibility or prefeasibility studies, except in rare cases. Investors are cautioned not to assume that part or all of an inferred resource exists, or is economically or legally mineable.

All amounts of mineral resources are estimates only, and Northern Dynasty cannot be certain that any specified level of recovery of metals from the mineralized material will in fact be realized or that the Pebble Project or any other identified mineral deposit will ever qualify as a commercially mineable (or viable) ore body that can be economically exploited. Mineralized material which is not mineral reserves does not have demonstrated economic viability. In addition, the quantity of mineral reserves and mineral resources may vary depending on, among other things, metal prices and actual results of mining. There can be no assurance that any future economic or technical assessments undertaken by the Company with respect to the Pebble Project will demonstrate positive economics or feasibility.

Northern Dynasty Has No History of Earnings and No Foreseeable Earnings, and May Never Achieve Profitability or Pay Dividends

Northern Dynasty has only had losses since inception and there can be no assurance that Northern Dynasty will ever be profitable. Northern Dynasty has paid no dividends on its shares since incorporation. Northern Dynasty presently has no ability to generate earnings as its mineral properties are in the predevelopment stage.

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

Northern Dynasty's Consolidated Financial Statements have been Prepared Assuming Northern Dynasty will Continue on a Going Concern Basis

Northern Dynasty's Interim Financial Statements have been prepared on the basis that Northern Dynasty will continue as a going concern. At September 30, 2017, Northern Dynasty had working capital of \$25.4 million. Northern Dynasty has prioritized the allocation of available financial resources in order to meet key corporate and Pebble Project expenditure requirements for the remainder of 2017 and have available financial resources to carry forward into the first quarter of 2018. Additional financing will be required for continued corporate expenditures and material expenditures at the Pebble Project. Northern Dynasty's continuing operations and the underlying value and recoverability of the amounts shown for mineral property interest are entirely dependent upon the existence of economically recoverable mineral reserves at the Pebble Project, the ability of the Company to finance its operating costs, the completion of the exploration and development of the Pebble Project, the Pebble Partnership obtaining the necessary permits to mine, and on future profitable production at the Pebble Project. Furthermore, failure to continue as a going concern would require that Northern Dynasty's assets and liabilities be restated on a liquidation basis, which would likely differ significantly from their going concern assumption carrying values. Refer also to discussion in 1.6 Liquidity.

As the Pebble Project is Northern Dynasty's only Mineral Property Interest, the Failure to Establish that the Pebble Project Possesses Commercially Viable and Legally Mineable Deposits of Ore may cause a Significant Decline in the Trading Price of Northern Dynasty's Common Shares and Reduce its ability to obtain New Financing

The Pebble Project is, through the Pebble Partnership, Northern Dynasty's only mineral project. Northern Dynasty's principal business objective is to carry out further exploration and related activities to establish whether the Pebble Project possesses commercially viable deposits of ore. If Northern Dynasty is not successful in its plan of operations, Northern Dynasty may have to seek a new mineral property to explore or acquire an interest in a new mineral property or project. Northern Dynasty anticipates that such an outcome would adversely impact the price of Northern Dynasty's common shares. Furthermore, Northern Dynasty anticipates that its ability to raise additional financing to fund exploration of a new property or the acquisition of a new property or project would be impaired as a result of the failure to establish commercial viability of the Pebble Project.

If prices for copper, gold, molybdenum and silver decline, Northern Dynasty may not be able to raise the additional financing required to fund expenditures for the Pebble Project

The ability of Northern Dynasty to raise financing to fund the Pebble Project, will be significantly affected by changes in the market price of the metals for which it explores. The prices of copper, gold, molybdenum and silver are volatile, and are affected by numerous factors beyond Northern Dynasty's control. The level of interest rates, the rate of inflation, the world supplies of and demands for copper, gold, molybdenum and silver and the stability of exchange rates can all cause fluctuations in these prices. Such external economic factors are influenced by changes in international investment patterns and monetary systems and political developments. The prices of copper, gold, molybdenum and silver have fluctuated in recent years, and future significant price declines could cause investors to be unprepared to finance exploration of copper, gold, molybdenum and silver, with the result that Northern Dynasty may not have sufficient financing with which to fund its exploration activities.

Mining is Inherently Dangerous and Subject to Conditions or Events Beyond the Company's Control, which Could have a Material Adverse Effect on the Company's Business

Hazards such as fire, explosion, floods, structural collapses, industrial accidents, unusual or unexpected geological conditions, ground control problems, power outages, inclement weather, seismic activity, cave-

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

ins and mechanical equipment failure are inherent risks in the Company's exploration, development and mining operations. These and other hazards may cause injuries or death to employees, contractors or other persons at the Company's mineral properties, severe damage to and destruction of the Company's property, plant and equipment and mineral properties, and contamination of, or damage to, the environment, and may result in the suspension of the Company's exploration and development activities and any future production activities. Safety measures implemented by the Company may not be successful in preventing or mitigating future accidents.

Northern Dynasty Competes with Larger, Better Capitalized Competitors in the Mining Industry

The mining industry is competitive in all of its phases, including financing, technical resources, personnel and property acquisition. It requires significant capital, technical resources, personnel and operational experience to effectively compete in the mining industry. Because of the high costs associated with exploration, the expertise required to analyze a project's potential and the capital required to develop a mine, larger companies with significant resources may have a competitive advantage over Northern Dynasty. Northern Dynasty faces strong competition from other mining companies, some with greater financial resources, operational experience and technical capabilities than Northern Dynasty possesses. As a result of this competition, Northern Dynasty may be unable to maintain or acquire financing, personnel, technical resources or attractive mining properties on terms Northern Dynasty considers acceptable or at all.

Compliance with Environmental Requirements will take Considerable Resources and Changes to these Requirements could Significantly Increase the Costs of Developing the Pebble Project and Could Delay These Activities

Northern Dynasty and the Pebble Partnership must comply with stringent environmental legislation in carrying out work on the Pebble Project. Environmental legislation is evolving in a manner that will require stricter standards and enforcement, increased fines and penalties for non-compliance, more stringent environmental assessments of proposed projects and a heightened degree of responsibility for companies and their officers, directors and employees. Changes in environmental legislation could increase the cost to the Pebble Partnership of carrying out its exploration and, if warranted, development of the Pebble Project. Further, compliance with new or additional environmental legislation may result in delays to the exploration and, if warranted, development activities.

Changes in Government Regulations or the Application thereof and the Presence of Unknown Environmental Hazards on Northern Dynasty's Mineral Properties May Result in Significant Unanticipated Compliance and Reclamation Costs

Government regulations relating to mineral rights tenure, permission to disturb areas and the right to operate can adversely affect Northern Dynasty. Northern Dynasty and the Pebble Partnership may not be able to obtain all necessary licenses and permits that may be required to carry out exploration at our projects. Obtaining the necessary governmental permits is a complex, time-consuming and costly process. The duration and success of efforts to obtain permits are contingent upon many variables not within our control. Obtaining environmental permits may increase costs and cause delays depending on the nature of the activity to be permitted and the interpretation of applicable requirements implemented by the permitting authority. There can be no assurance that all necessary approvals and permits will be obtained and, if obtained, that the costs involved will not exceed those that we previously estimated. It is possible that the costs and delays associated with the compliance with such standards and regulations could become such that we would not proceed with the development or operation of a mine at the Pebble Project. Refer to further discussion in 1.2.1.3 Legal Matters.

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

Litigation

The Company was until recently, and may in future be subject to legal proceedings, including with regard to actions discussed in *Other Matters* in <u>1.2.1.3 Legal Matters</u> in the pursuit of its Pebble Project. Given the uncertain nature of these actions, the Company cannot reasonably predict the outcome thereof. If the Company is unable to resolve these matters favorably, it will likely have a material adverse effect of the Company.

Northern Dynasty is Subject to Many Risks that are Not Insurable and, as a Result, Northern Dynasty will Not Be Able to Recover Losses through Insurance Should Such Certain Events Occur

Hazards such as unusual or unexpected geological formations and other conditions are involved in mineral exploration and development. Northern Dynasty may become subject to liability for pollution, cave-ins or hazards against which it cannot insure. The payment of such liabilities could result in increase in Northern Dynasty's operating expenses which could, in turn, have a material adverse effect on Northern Dynasty's financial position and its results of operations. Although Northern Dynasty and the Pebble Partnership maintain liability insurance in an amount which we consider adequate, the nature of these risks is such that the liabilities might exceed policy limits, the liabilities and hazards might not be insurable against, or Northern Dynasty and the Pebble Partnership might elect not to insure itself against such liabilities due to high premium costs or other reasons, in which event Northern Dynasty could incur significant liabilities and costs that could materially increase Northern Dynasty's operating expenses.

If Northern Dynasty Loses the Services of the Key Personnel that it Engages to Undertake its Activities, then Northern Dynasty's Plan of Operations May Be Delayed or be More Expensive to Undertake than Anticipated

Northern Dynasty's success depends to a significant extent on the performance and continued service of certain independent contractors, including HDSI (refer 1.9 *Transactions with Related Parties*). The Company has access to the full resources of HDSI, an experienced exploration and development firm with in-house geologists, engineers and environmental specialists, to assist in its technical review of the Pebble Project. There can be no assurance that the services of all necessary key personnel will be available when required or if obtained, that the costs involved will not exceed those that we previously estimated. It is possible that the costs and delays associated with the loss of services of key personnel could become such that we would not proceed with the development or operation of a mine at the Pebble Project.

The Market Price of Northern Dynasty's Common Shares is Subject to High Volatility and Could Cause Investor Loss.

The market price of a publicly traded stock, especially a resource issuer like Northern Dynasty, is affected by many variables in addition to those directly related to exploration successes or failures. Such factors include the general condition of markets for resource stocks, the strength of the economy generally, the availability and attractiveness of alternative investments, and the breadth of the public markets for the stock. The effect of these and other factors on the market price of the Company's common shares suggests Northern Dynasty's shares will continue to be volatile. Therefore, investors could suffer significant losses if Northern Dynasty's shares are depressed or illiquid when an investor needs to sell Northern Dynasty shares.

Northern Dynasty Will Require Additional Funding to Meet the Development Objectives of the Pebble Project.

Northern Dynasty will need to raise additional financing (through share issuances, debt or asset level partnering) to achieve permitting and development of the Pebble Project. In addition, a positive production decision at the Pebble Project would require significant capital for project engineering and construction. Accordingly, the continuing development of the Pebble Project will depend upon Northern Dynasty's ability to obtain financing through debt financing, equity financing, the joint venturing of the

Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2017

project, or other means. There can be no assurance that Northern Dynasty will be successful in obtaining the required financing, or that it will be able to raise the funds on terms that do not result in high levels of dilution to shareholders.